

Docket No.: 0660002-0092

**PATENT****IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

Applicant : Palsson, Bernhard  
Appl. No. : 09/923,870  
Filed : August 6, 2001  
Title : METHODS FOR IDENTIFYING  
DRUG TARGETS BASED ON  
GENOMIC SEQUENCE DATA  
Grp./A.U. : 1631  
Examiner : Allen, Marianne P.

Customer No.: 41552  
Confirmation No.: 1729

Mail Stop Amendment  
Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

**DECLARATION PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. § 1.132**

Sir:

I, Shankar Subramaniam, Ph.D., declare as follows:

1) I am currently a Professor at the Departments of Bioengineering, Chemistry and Biochemistry at the University of California, San Diego. I was a Professor in the Departments of Physiology, Biochemistry, Chemical Engineering and Electrical and Computer Engineering at the University of Illinois prior to moving to UC San Diego. Previously, I have held Assistant and Associate Professorships at the University of Illinois.

2) I obtained a Bachelors of Science majoring in Chemistry, Physics and Mathematics in 1972 from Osmania University, Master of Science in Chemistry in 1974 from Osmania University and a Ph.D. in Chemistry from the Indian Institute of Technology Kanpur in 1982. I have authored or co-authored numerous papers in the areas of genomics and bioinformatics. My curriculum vitae and list of publications is attached hereto as Exhibit 1.

3) I have reviewed sections pertinent to my below attestation of the above-identified patent application. Specifically, I understand that the application describes and claims computer methods for producing a genome specific stoichiometric matrix and computer methods for

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

**EXHIBIT A**

Inventors: Palsson, Bernhard  
Serial No.: 09/923,870  
Filed: August 6, 2001  
Page 2

producing an *in silico* representation of a microbe. The methods rely, in part, on the assignment of function to a gene or open reading frame based on nucleotide or amino acid sequence homology.

4) I have read the Office Action mailed June 18, 2004, issued in connection with the above-identified application. I understand that the claims have been rejected, in part, because it is alleged that the application does not describe percent homology criteria for functional categorization of open reading frames based on sequence homology and that the application does not describe criteria for inclusion or exclusion of a gene or open reading frame as a metabolic gene based on sequence homology. While all open reading frames in a genome cannot be automatically assigned function, it was well established at the time the priority application was filed on February 2, 1999, that those open reading frames that have appropriate sequence homology with genes from other microbes whose function was previously assigned could be characterized to possess the same function. While the degree of sequence homology is arguably subjective, databases such as COG (Complete Groups of Orthologous Genes, National Institutes of Health Website that uses bi-directional BLAST; <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/COG/>; *Science* 1997 Oct 24;278(5338):631-37) have criteria that are routinely deployed for function identification through homology.

5) My understanding from reading the application is that, at the time of the priority application, functional assignment through homology was a routine procedure for open reading frames that display homology, and it is a superfluous need for the procedure described in the application to be set forth in more detail than that currently specified. Further, once function identification is made it is common place to characterize the function as pertaining to metabolism from legacy knowledge of cellular metabolism.

6) For example, the application describes that following identification of an open reading frame, well established algorithms can be used to determine the extent of similarity between a given sequence and a gene/protein sequence deposited in the worldwide genetic databases. These algorithms are described at page 7, second paragraph, and include the BLAST and FAST family of programs.

Inventors: Palsson, Bernhard  
Serial No.: 09/923,870  
Filed: August 6, 2001  
Page 3

7) The application also describes at, for example, page 7, second paragraph, that if a coding region from a gene is homologous to a gene within one of the sequence databases, the open reading frame is assigned a function similar to the homologously matched gene.

8) The application further describes at, for example, pages 13-14 in Example 1, that the genetic sequence and open reading frame identifications and assignments are readily available for a majority of the *E. coli* genome from a number of on-line locations, citing to both the TIGR and the *E. coli* Genome Project web sites for obtaining this information. Genome annotation data obtained from the latter site was used to obtain those genes involved in cellular metabolism.

9) Based on the above descriptions, it is clear that for this aspect of the claims, all that is necessary with respect to assigning function to encoded proteins is to perform a sequence homology search against a gene or protein sequence database using well known algorithms or programs such as BLAST or FAST to identify if a coding region is homologous to a known gene within a sequence database. Identification of a homologous sequence assigns the protein function of the known homologous sequence to the protein encoded by the query coding region sequence. Accordingly, a positive sequence homology hit to a known sequence of known function results in the assignment of the known function to the query coding region.

10) Based on the above descriptions, it also is clear that in order to practice this aspect of the invention as claimed, all that is necessary with respect to determining if the assigned function of an encoded protein is involved in cellular metabolism is to select those open reading frames that have been assigned a function involved in metabolism. As indicated at pages 13-14, the genome annotation data of the known gene will, in many cases, already contain such an assignment. For example, the annotation data can indicate that a particular gene is involved in glycolysis, one of many well known metabolic processes. In cases where the annotation of a known gene specifies only the name of the protein or a biochemical reaction, published literature can provide information on functional association of the gene with metabolism.

Inventors: Palsson, Bernhard  
Serial No.: 09/923,870  
Filed: August 6, 2001  
Page 4

11) Further, at the time the priority application was filed, any experimentation necessary to obtain a sequence homology search and assign a function based on homology to a known gene was predictable and routine. For example, the BLAST and FAST family of sequence alignment algorithms were well known and accepted standards in the art. The percent homology results produced from these programs are based on alignment criteria selected by the user. It is also common to use an E-value that seeks if the two sequences are similar by random chance. It is routinely accepted in the protein research area, that sequences that are likely to be homologous by random chance by one in a tenth of a million are likely to be orthologous or paralogous sequences. Accordingly, the predictability of selection and assignment of function based on homology to a known gene is set by the user and can be based on well established criteria.

I hereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true.

Date: Dec 20, '04

By: Shankar Subramaniam  
Shankar Subramaniam, Ph.D.



## CURRICULUM VITAE (SHORT VERSION)

### SHANKAR SUBRAMANIAM

#### RESEARCH PROFILE:

Shankar Subramaniam is a Professor of Bioengineering, Chemistry and Biochemistry and Biology and Director of the Bioinformatics Graduate Program at the University of California at San Diego. He also has adjunct Professorships at the Salk Institute for Biological Studies and the San Diego Supercomputer Center. He is also a Guest Professor at the Center for Molecular Biology and Neuroscience at the University of Oslo in Norway and Professor at the Center for Cardiovascular Bioinformatics and Modeling at Johns Hopkins University. He is a fellow of the American Institute for Medical and Biological Engineering (AIMBE) and is a recipient of Smithsonian Foundation and Association of Laboratory Automation Awards and his research work is described below. Subramaniam was honored in 2002 as "High Performance Computing's Highest Guru" by Genome Technology Magazine. He is on the Editorial Board of the following Journals: Bioinformatics, Journal of Molecular Recognition and the journal, Molecular and Cellular Proteomics. He is on several NIH advisory committees and panels. His research spans diverse areas of bioinformatics and systems biology.

#### RESEARCH INTERESTS OVERVIEW

The sequencing of several mammalian genomes provides a basis for understanding the systemic functioning of living cells. The "omics" technologies have begun to produce vast amounts of context-specific biological data

Thus, future developments in genomics, and the applications that derive from genomics, will be dependent upon the scientific progress at the interface of three major disciplines; biology, engineering, and computer science. My laboratory works in this interdisciplinary areas of Bioinformatics and Systems Biology.

Bioinformatics characterizes the flow of information in living systems. This is schematically represented below.



Specific projects our laboratory is associated with in this area include,

#### Genome Annotation

1. Design of a novel algorithm for generating protein family specific scoring matrices and applications of this scoring scheme in identifying orthologous and paralogous proteins in genomes.
2. Design of nucleotide and protein profiles that will serve to develop a high throughput method to identifying genes in genome sequences. This has implications for expression

profiling technology using oligonucleotides for assessing cell function.

3. Design and development of high throughput pipeline for annotating genomes.

### **Protein Sequence-Structure Mapping**

1. Development of strategies for obtaining unique protein fragment structures that will serve as the basis set for modeling three-dimensional structures of all soluble proteins.
2. Characterizing protein folds using fragment-based methods.
3. Obtaining statistical potentials (pairwise atomic PDFs) for defining energy landscape of proteins.
4. Using global optimization strategies for modeling structures of proteins from their primary sequences.

### **Functional Genomics**

1. Development of new methods for gene expression analysis.
2. Reconstruction and biochemical analysis of transcriptional networks.
3. Functional analysis of transcription factors.

### **Reconstruction and Modeling of Biochemical Pathways**

1. Reconstruction of disease networks pertaining to insulin resistance.
2. Design and development of methods for analyzing cell signaling pathways from expression profile and proteomic data.
3. Identification of protein partners in cell signaling pathways.
4. Computational modeling of pathways.

### **Infrastructure for Biological Databases, Analysis Tools and Interfaces**

1. Extensions of the Biology Workbench (<http://workbench.sdsc.edu>) developed in my laboratory.
2. Design of novel tools for expression profile and proteomic analysis in the Workbench.
3. Creation of automated pipelines for genome, gene and protein analysis.
4. Design and development of Laboratory Information Management Systems.
5. Development of the Information Management System for Alliance for Cellular Signaling and LipidMaps projects.

<http://genome.ucsd.edu/>

<http://www.signaling-gateway.org/>

<http://www.lipidmaps.org/>

<http://www.mitorproteome.org/>

<http://www.bioinformatics.ucsd.edu/>

**Funding Acknowledgement:** NSF, NIH, State of California and Corporate

**AFFILIATIONS:**

**Professor**

Department of Bioengineering  
Department of Chemistry & Biochemistry  
San Diego Supercomputing Center  
Salk Institute

**Director**

Bioinformatics Program, UCSD

**Guest Professor**

Center for Molecular Biology and Neuroscience  
University of Oslo, Norway

**EDUCATION:**

- B.Sc., (Chemistry) Osmania University 1972 (Recipient of gold medal for ranking first in the University)  
M.Sc., (Physical Organic Chemistry) Osmania University 1974 (First Class with distinction)  
Ph.D., (Chemistry) Indian Institute of Technology Kanpur 1982

**PROFESSIONAL EXPERIENCE:**

- 1999-present Professor, Departments of Bioengineering, Chemistry and Biochemistry, Director, Bioinformatics Graduate Program University of California San Diego and Senior Fellow, San Diego Super Computer Center.
- 1998-1999 Professor, Departments of Biochemistry, Molecular and Integrative Physiology, Chemical, Electrical and Computer Engineering, Center for Biophysics and Computational Biology, Beckman Institute and National Center for Supercomputing Applications. University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign.
- 1996-1997 Associate Professor, Department of Molecular and Integrative Physiology, Department of Chemical Engineering, Center for Biophysics and Computational Biology, Beckman Institute and National Center for Supercomputing Applications. University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign.
- 1991-1996 Assistant Professor, Department of Molecular and Integrative Physiology, Center for Biophysics and Computational Biology, Beckman Institute and National Center for Supercomputing Applications. University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign.
- 1990-1990 Visiting Scientist, Princeton University and Senior Research Scientist, Squibb Institute for Medical Research.
- 1986- 1989 Assistant Director for Scientific Development, IMD and Visiting Assistant Professor of Chemistry, University of Houston. Work carried out in the group of Professor J. Andrew McCammon
- 1985-1986 Postdoctoral Research Associate, University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill. Work carried out in collaboration with Profs. Jan Hermans and Max Berkowitz.
- 1984-1985 Postdoctoral Research Associate, University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill. Work carried out in the group of Prof. Robert G. Parr.
- 1982-1984 Lecturer in Chemistry, St. Stephen's College, Delhi University.
- 1979-1982 Senior Research Assistant, Indian Institute of Technology Kanpur.
- 1976-1979 Research Assistant, Indian Institute of Technology Kanpur.

**HONORS:**

Genome Technology All Star Award, 2002  
Association of LabAutomation Award, 2001  
Elected Fellow, Institute for Biomedical Engineering, 2000  
The College of Engineering, University of Illinois Advisor's List, 1997.  
Smithsonian Foundation Citation for Innovation in Computing, 1997.  
Council of Scientific and Industrial Research Fellowship 1974-1976.  
Indian National Merit Scholarship 1972-1974.  
Thatté memorial gold medal in Bachelor's degree 1972.

**PROFESSIONAL ACTIVITIES (National Committees and Forums):** (Partial List, 1997-03 only)

1. Member, National Academies of Sciences Future of Supercomputing Committee, 2003-2004.
2. Volume Editor, Wiley Encyclopedia of Genomics, Genetics, Proteomics and Bioinformatics. 2004.
3. Member, Scientific Advisory Panel, NURSA project, NIDDK, NIH. 2004-present.
4. Member, NIH Director's Roadmap Committee for Bioinformatics, 2003
5. Chair, Software Maintenance and Development Study Section, NIH, 2002- present
6. Chair, San Diego Supercomputer Center Executive Committee, 2002 - present
7. Guest Professor, Centre for Molecular Biology and Neuroscience, University of Oslo, Norway 2002 – present
8. Editorial Board Member, Bioinformatics. 2002-present.
9. Editorial Board Member. Molecular and Cellular Proteomics 2002-present.
10. Editorial Board Member. Journal of Molecular Recognition. 2000-present.
11. Editor, Wiley Encyclopedia on Genomics, Proteomics and Bioinformatics 2002 - present
12. Member, Search Committee for Director of Bioinformatics and Computational Biology Institute, NIGMS, NIH, 2001
13. Chair, BISTI Study Section, NIH 2001-2002
14. Chair, NIH/NSF Bioengineering and Bioinformatics Education and Training Workshop 2001
15. US Representative in the Global Science Forum Neuroinformatics Working Group 2000-present
16. Member, Scientific Advisory Board to the Department of Bioengineering, UI Chicago 2000-present
17. Member, Scientific Advisory Board, Mitokor Corporation, 2001-present
18. Member, Scientific Advisory Board, Genomar, 1999-present
19. Member, SUN Microsystems Informatics Advisory Council 2000-present
20. Member, Review Panel, NSERC, Berkeley 1999-present
21. Member, Advisory committee to the Working Group of the Director of NIH, on Bioinformatics (Chairs: Botstein/Smarr) 1998-99
22. Member, NIH Site Visit Panel on Program Project Grant, Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory, 1999.
23. Member, Whitaker Foundation Workshop on Bioengineering Education. 1999
24. Invited Participant, AIChE Planning Group in Bioinformatics, 1999.
25. Adhoc Member, Genetics Study Section, NIH, 1998
26. Panelist, Advisory Panel on Postdoctoral Fellowships in Bioinformatics of the National Science Foundation, 1999-2000
27. Panelist, Committee on Knowledge and Distributed Intelligence Initiative, NSF 1998



28. Member, NIH Review Panel on Workshop and Training Grants in Computational Genomics and Bioinformatics, 1999.
29. Chair, Session on Computational Biophysics Session, Annual Meeting of the Biophysical Society, 1999.
30. Proposal Reviewer, W.M. Keck Foundation, 1998
31. Member, Scientific Advisory Board, BioSoft Inc. Norway 1998-present
32. Member, Advisory Committee, Fralin Biotechnology Center, Virginia Tech. 1998-99
33. Chair & Organizer, Beckman Institute Symposia on Protein Structure, Function and Bioinformatics, 1991-97.
34. Member, Editorial Board for Journal of Molecular Recognition, 1999-present
35. Ad-Hoc Member, SBIR/STTR Study Section, NIH, 1997-98.
36. Member of the Working Group of the Advisory Committee to the Director of NIH on Biological Information Science and Technology Initiative. Participated in writing the BISTI report to NIH (1997-1999)

#### **INVITED LECTURES (Partial List 1991-1999):**

1. International Symposium on Polymer Modeling, NCSA. "Computer Simulations of Biomolecules". May 1991.
2. Department of Chemistry, Northern Illinois University, DeKalb. "Molecular Recognition in Proteins". Oct. 1991.
3. Eli Lilly Laboratories, Indianapolis. "Protein Structure Modeling". Sept. 1991.
4. Iowa Academy of Sciences, Cedar Falls. "Supercomputing in Biology". Nov. 1991.
5. Fourth International meeting on Software Engineering and Knowledge Engineering, Capri, Italy. "Knowledge-Based Approaches to Protein Structure and Motifs". June 1992.
6. Neural Networks - Biology to High Energy Physics, Elba, Italy. "Machine Learning Approaches to Protein Feature Prediction". June 1992.
7. Department of Chemical Engineering, Illinois. "Computer Simulations of Biomolecular Recognition". Sept. 1992.
8. Pfizer Central Research Laboratories. "Biomolecular Recognition", and "Protein Structure Prediction from Sequence". Sept. 1993.
9. Center for Advanced Research in Biotechnology, University of Maryland. "Electrostatics and Molecular Recognition". Oct. 1993.
10. International Symposium on Distance-based Approaches to Protein Structure, Lyngby, Denmark. Plenary Lecture on "Protein Structure Prediction - Past and Present". Nov. 1993.
11. North Dakota State University, Fargo. "Protein Structure and Modeling". April 1994.
12. 1994 First World Congress on Computational Medicine, Health and Biotechnology. Session Chair and Speaker. "Knowledge-Based Approaches to Protein Structure". April 1994.
13. Pfizer-Beckman Symposium on Protein Structure and Engineering, University of Illinois. "Knowledge-Based Tools for Protein Engineering and Design". June 1994.
14. Antibody Workshop, Cambridge, U.K. "Kinetics and Energetics in Immune Recognition". Aug. 1994.
15. Short Course on "Protein Modeling" in SISSA, Trieste, Italy. Sept. 1994.
16. Texas A & M University, College Station. "Knowledge-Based Approaches to Protein Structure", Dec 1994.

17. University of California at Irvine. "Molecular Recognition in Proteins" - From Lock and Key to Float, Fix, Fit and Fasten., May 1995.
18. ISIS Pharmaceuticals, San Diego, CA. "Protein Structure Prediction - Novel Methods", May 1995.
19. Intelligent Systems for Molecular Biology-95. Tutorial titled "Molecular Biology for the Computer Scientist". Cambridge, U.K. July 1995.
20. Brandeis University, Dept. of Chemistry. "Knowledge-based Potentials for Proteins". Sept. 1995.
21. Istituto Ricerca BioMolecolare P. Angeletti, Pomezia. "Protein Structure Prediction Methods". Nov. 1995.
22. Centro Nazionale Ricerca (CNR) Genoa. "Simulations of Biological Membranes". Nov. 1995.
23. Rush Medical School, Chicago, IL. "Protein Structure and Folding". Jan. 1996.
24. University of California at Irvine, "Protein Folding in machino". March. 1996.
25. Purdue University, IN., "Knowledge-Based Methods for Protein Structure". March 1996.
26. University of Wisconsin at Madison, WI. "Molecular Recognition - Through a Computational Microscope". March 1996.
27. International Center for Theoretical Physics, Trieste, Italy. "Methods for Protein Structure and Folding", March 1996.
28. International Center for Theoretical Physics, Trieste, Italy. "Computer Simulation Methods for Molecular Recognition", March 1996.
29. Eli Lilly and Company, Indianapolis. "The Biology Workbench ". April, 1996.
30. ISMB96, Talk on "Knowledge-based Methods for Protein Structure Refinement and Prediction". June 1996.
31. ISMB96, Presentation and National Release of the "Biology Workbench". June 1996.
32. University of Minnesota, MN., "Protein Folding *in machino*". Oct., 1996.
33. University of Minnesota, MN., "Biology Workbench - A Seamless Integration of Databases, Analysis Algorithms and Interfaces on the World Wide Web". Oct. 1996.
34. ASBMB Conference on Computational Biology - Methods in Biomolecular Imaging. "A Knowledge-Based Method for Protein Structure Refinement". Oct., 1996.
35. USDA International Symposium on "Genetic Analysis of Economically Important Traits in Livestock", Allerton, Illinois. "Bioinformatics on the World Wide Web". Nov., 1996.
36. Chemical Engineering Symposium on Engineering Protein Recognition, Univ. of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign. "Role of Electrostatic Interactions in Antigen-Antibody Interactions". November, 1996.
37. Department of Biochemistry and Biophysics, University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, NC. Workshop on Protein Folding. December, 1996.
38. Midwest Regional ACS Meeting., Midland, MI., Bioinformatics on the Web., May 1997.
39. NSF Workshop on "Knowledge Network Processing, U. Pennsylvania., June 1997.
40. Second International Conference on Molecular Recognition on Surfaces, Basel, Switzerland, July 1997.
41. Department of Chemical Engineering, University of Wisconsin at Madison, Bioinformatics and the Future., Sept. 1997.
42. 55. Departments of Physics & Biochemistry, Oklahoma State University, Stillwater. Protein Folding *in machino*, Oct. 1997.
43. Genome Workshop on Databases, "Bioinformatics and Genomics", Minnesuing Acres, WI, Oct. 1997.
44. Department of Biophysics, Johns Hopkins University, Protein Folding *in machino*, Dec. 1997.
45. National Institutes of Health BECON Symposium Panel on "Bioinformatics", Feb. 1997.

46. Institute for Biomedical Computing, Washington University, St. Louis, Protein Structure and Folding, March 1998.
47. Departments of Computer Science and Biology, University of Kentucky, The Biology Workbench – A paradigm for virtual computing on the web, April 1998.
48. Department of Biochemistry, Northern Illinois University, DeKalb. Molecular Recognition in the Immune System, April 1998.
49. Department of Chemistry, Rice University. Protein Structure and Folding, April 1998.
50. Department of Bioengineering, UC San Diego, La Jolla. Bioinformatics – The Rosetta Stone of Biology, June 1998.
51. Department of Bioengineering, UC San Diego, La Jolla. Molecular Recognition in Proteins, August 1998.
52. European Molecular Biology Organization, “Talking Proteins Symposium”, Heidelberg, Sept., 1998.
53. Department of Computer Science, Purdue University, Bioinformatics., Oct., 1998.
54. Department of Bioengineering, Rice University, Houston, Bioinformatics in the Postgenome Era, Nov., 1998.
55. Chem. Eng. Dept. Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Bioinformatics: Deciphering the Rosetta Stone of Biology, April, 1999
56. Chemistry Dept., Wabash College, Bioinformatics, April 1999
57. Physiology & Biophysics, Cornell Medical School, Applications of Bioinformatics, NY, May 1999

#### **SINCE JOINING UCSD**

58. Symposium on “Bioinformatics, Patents and Intellectual Property Rights” organized by McDermott and Campbell & Flores Law Firms, La Jolla, San Diego, June 1999.
59. Annual Symposium on Biomedical Engineering, “Bioinformatics in the Post-genome Era”, Salt Lake City, Utah, July 1999.
60. Chattaqua Conference on Computational Science, “Bioinformatics”, Boston University, Sept. 1999.
61. Department of Chemistry at UNC Chapel Hill, “Deconstructing Protein Structure”, Oct., 1999.
62. Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, Duke University, “Bioinformatics – Deciphering the Rosetta Stone of Biology”, Oct., 1999.
63. BMES Annual Symposium. Atlanta, GA, “Postgenomic Bioinformatics”, Oct. 1999.
64. Chair, Panel Discussion on Bioinformatics, BMES Annual Meeting, Atlanta, GA, Oct. 1999.
65. 3<sup>rd</sup> TIGR Symposium on Computational Genomics, Baltimore, MD., “Sequence-Function Mapping – Lessons from Bioinformatics”, Nov., 1999.
66. Department of Computer Science, San Diego State University, “Postgenomic Bioinformatics – Computer Science Challenges”, Nov., 1999.
67. CSU Biotechnology Symposium, Keynote Lecture, “Bioinformatics: Deciphering the Rosetta Stone of Biology”, CalPolytechnic Pomona, Jan., 2000.
68. BioStar Meeting on Bioinformatics, Bioinformatics: challenges and progress, UC San Diego., Jan 2000.
69. Pacific Rim Society International Symposium on Bioinformatics. Bioinformatics at the Crossroads of Biology, Medicine and Information Science. Los Angeles, March, 2000.
70. 19<sup>th</sup> Southern Biomedical Conference, Keynote Lecture. The future of Bioinformatics., Blacksburg, Virginia, April 2000.
71. Genetic Resources for the New Century, Beyond the Genome: Bioinformatics. San Diego Zoo., May 2000.

72. Global Forum on Neuroinformatics organized by National Institutes of Mental Health, "Design of a Neuroinformatics Workbench", Genoa, Italy, May 2000.
73. Massachusetts Institute of Technology Workshop on Bioinformatics. "Protein Structure and Folding (4 Lectures).", MIT, Boston, June 2000.
74. NIH Site Visit to evaluate a Program Project Grant, New Jersey Institute of Technology, June 2000.
75. Iceland Genome Project. "Bioinformatics after the Genome" Reykjavik, July, 2000.
76. International Society for Animal Genetics Conference, Keynote Lecture: "Bioinformatics: Mapping the New Central Dogma in Biology", Minneapolis, July 2000.
77. Keynote Speaker, "The cross-education across biology and information science", Conference in Bioinformatics Education in Undergraduate Curricula. San Diego State University. July 2000.
78. Mitokor Corporation, Designing Infrastructure for Bioinformatics. San Diego, August 2000.
79. Keynote Speaker, EUCHEM conference on BCDC- Bioinformatics, Cheminformatics, Datamining, MQSAR and Chemometrics, Stockholm, Sweden. Bioinformatics. Swedish Chemical Society, Stockholm. September 2000.
80. Mt. Sinai Medical School, Department of Pharmacology. Bioinformatics: Applications to sequence and function analysis. New York, October 2000.
81. Conference, Metabolic Engineering III, Sequence-structure-function mapping for engineering microorganisms. Colorado Springs, October 2000.
82. SUN Microsystems. Bioinformatics: Challenges for Software, Database and Computer Designers. Palo Alto, November 2000.
83. PacificChem. Chair and Speaker, Bioinformatics Session. Beyond the Genome. December 2000.
84. 5th Lake Tahoe Symposium on Molecular Diversity. Introduction to the Alliance for Cellular Signaling. Lake Tahoe, January 2001.
85. Keynote and Award Lecture. LabAutomation 2001. Bioinformatics: At the Crossroads of Biology, Medicine and Engineering. Palm Springs. January 2001.
86. UCSD Chemistry-Industry Presentation. Challenges in Post-Genome Bioinformatics. UCSD. February 2001.
87. Health Care in the New Millenium: Merging Biology, Information Technology and Engineering, organized by AIMBE. The Information Revolution in Biology and its Impact on Medicine in the New Millenium. National Academy of Sciences. Washington D.C. March 2001.
88. California State University Pomona, Departments of Chemistry and Biochemistry. Bioinformatics: Flow of Information in Biology. March 2001.
89. BMES-FASEB Meeting. Chair and Speaker. Bioinformatics Session. Orlando April 2001.
90. Institute for Theoretical Physics, UC Santa Barbara. Modeling biological processes. April 2001.
91. Department of Bioengineering. UI Chicago. Advisory Committee Meeting. April 2001.
92. Plenary Lecture. Symposium of European Society for Engineering and Medicine. Bioinformatics: The Interface between Biology, Engineering and Medicine. Belfast, Ireland. May 2001.
93. Bioinformatics Course in MIT Workshop in Bioinformatics. MIT, Boston. June 2001.
94. Databasing the Brain. University of Oslo and European Commission and Global Science Forum. Chair and Speaker. Bioinformatics and Neuroinformatics: Challenges and Opportunities. Oslo, Norway. July 2001.
95. Salk Institute. Bioinformatics of Cellular Signaling. La Jolla. July 2001.

96. Gordon Research Conference on Bioinformatics- From Inference to Predictive Models. Designing Knowledge Bases for Cellular Signaling. Tilton School, New Hampshire, August 2001.
97. Aspen Workshop on Enzymatic Networks and Cellular Signaling. Data Modeling and Ontologies for AfCS. Aspen, August 2001.
98. Computational Challenges in the Post-Genomic Age II. Databases for Modern Biology. Keynote Lecture. Research Triangle Park, North Carolina. September, 2001.
99. The O'Reilly Bioinformatics Technology Conference. State of the Art in Integrative Bioinformatics. Section Speaker. Tucson, Arizona. January 2002.
100. Biophysical Society Annual Meeting. Semiotics of Cellular Signaling. San Francisco, February 2002
101. University of Minnesota Bioinformatics Symposium. Challenges in Integrative Bioinformatics. Minneapolis, Minnesota, April 2002.
102. In Silico Biology Conference. Molecular Ontology for Cellular Signaling. San Diego, June 2002.
103. University of Utah Department of Medical Informatics. Postgenome Bioinformatics: At the Crossroads of Biology, Engineering and Medicine. September 2002.
104. SIAM. Structuring Cellular Data for Computational Modeling. Washington DC, October 2002.
105. La Jolla Mesa Signaling Group. AFCS Molecule Pages. La Jolla, October 2002
106. Oracle Life Sciences Conference. Structuring Biological Data. San Francisco, November 2002
107. International Business Forum. Investing and Partnering in Systems Biology. San Francisco, November 2002
108. Harvard-MIT Seminar in Computational Biology. Challenges in Post Sequence Bioinformatics and Systems Biology. Boston, November 2002.
109. Mount Sinai School of Medicine. Modeling Cellular Complexity. New York City, December 2002.
110. New York Academy of Sciences Conference. Understanding the Cell: Components, Networks and Modeling. New York City, December 2002.
111. IBM Academy of Technology. Challenges in Post-Sequence Bioinformatics and Systems Biology. San Jose, California, March 2003
112. Knowledge Millennium III: The Business of Biotechnology. New Delhi, India
113. Purdue University. Systems Biology: Windows into Intracellular Networks. Lafayette, April 2003.
114. Purdue University. Systems Biology: Challenges in the Post Genome Era: The Biology-Computational Science Interface. Lafayette, April 2003.
115. Virginia Bioinformatics Institute. Systems Biology: Challenges in the Post-Genomic Era. Bethesda, May 2003
116. NIH Human Brain Project. Postgenomic Biology: Deciphering Intracellular Networks. Bethesda, May 2003
117. Salk Institute. Deciphering Intracellular Networks in Mammalian Cells. La Jolla, August 2003.
118. Gordon Research Conference. Reconstruction and Modeling of Networks from Cellular Data. Queen's College, Oxford, August 2003.
119. Johns Hopkins University, CCBM Inaugural Seminar, "Deciphering Intracellular Networks in Mammalian Cells". Baltimore, September 2003.
120. CalIT<sup>2</sup>. Systems Biology, Bioengineering and Bioinformatics: A new discipline at the Interface of Biology, Medicine and Information Technology. La Jolla, September 2003.
121. Pathways, Networks and Systems Biology Conference. Deciphering Intracellular Networks in Mammalian Cells. Santorini, Greece, September 2003.

122. Scientific Data Integration: Challenges and Some Solutions. Biomedical Information Science and Technology Initiative Consortium. Bethesda, MD, November 2003.
123. 2003 PKR Protein Phosphorylation Workshop. Alliance for Cellular Signaling: Design of the Informatics Infrastructure. Asilomar, CA December 2003.
124. IPAM: Proteomics Workshop I: High Throughput Technologies and Methods of Analysis. "Reconstruction of Cellular Networks: the Biological Context". Los Angeles, March 2004.
125. NHLBI: A Systems Biology Approach to Regulatory Networks in Heart, Lung, Blood and Sleep Research Working Group. "Biological Data Integration and Analysis: Challenges for Mammalian Cell Systems. Bethesda, MD, May 2004.
126. Massachusetts Institute of Technology: MIT Summer Course, "Bioinformatics: Principles, Methods and Applications" (five lectures). Boston MA, June 2004
127. Stazione Zoologica: "Deciphering Mammalian Intracellular Networks: Integrative Data Analysis". Naples, Italy, July 2004.
128. Iowa State University: "Cellular Systems Biology: Data Integration, Analysis and Modeling". Ames IA, July 2004
129. University of Oslo: "Ontologies, Databases and Tools for Brain Imaging. Research Course. Oslo Norway. August 2004.
130. IEEE-EMBC 04 Plenary Lecture: "Bioinformatics and Computational Systems Biology: At the Cross Roads of Biology, Engineering and Computation". San Francisco CA, September 2004.
131. Science & Technology Expert Partnership (STEP) program, Conference on Computational Biology: "Data Integration and Analysis for the Reconstruction of Mammalian Cellular Networks". Washington DC, September 2004.
132. Plenary Lecture, Frontiers in Oncology and Pathology Informatics, "Decoding networks in mammalian cells", Pittsburgh, October 2004.
133. Special Lecture at the Nanotechnology Center, Purdue University, "Bioinformatics and Systems Biology: At the interface of biology, engineering and computer science". Purdue, October 2004.

## **PUBLICATIONS:**

### **Refereed Publications 2001-2004**

1. Avidor-Reiss T, Maer AM, Koundakjian E, Polyanovsky A, Keil T, Subramaniam S, Zuker CS. Decoding cilia function; defining specialized genes required for compartmentalized cilia biogenesis. *Cell*. 117(4):527-39, 2004.
2. Bornheimer, S.J., Maurya, M.R., Farquhar, M.G., and Subramaniam, S. Computational modeling reveals how interplay between components of a GTPase-cycle module regulates signal transduction. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 101: 15899-15904, 2004.
3. Hsiao A, Worrall DS, Olefsky JM and Subramaniam S. Variance-modeled posterior inference of microarray data: Detecting gene-expression changes in 3T3-L1 adipocytes. *Bioinformatics*. 2004 Nov 22;20(17):3108-27.
4. Papin, J. and Subramaniam, S. Bioinformatics and Cellular Signaling. *Curr Opin Biotechnol*. 1:78-81, 2004.
5. Chittababu Guda, Eoin Fahy and Shankar Subramaniam. MITOPRED: a genome-scale method for prediction of nucleus-encoded mitochondrial proteins. *Bioinformatics* 20:1-10 2004.
6. Giridhar Chukkapalli, Chittababu Guda and Shankar Subramaniam. SledgeHMMER: a web server for batch searching the Pfam database. *Nucleic Acids Research* 32, Web Server issue 2004.

7. Chittibabu Guda, Purnima Guda, Eoin Fahy and Shankar Subramaniam. MITOPRED: a web server for the prediction of mitochondrial proteins. *Nucleic Acids Research* 32 2004.
8. Jason Papin and Shankar Subramaniam. Bioinformatics and cellular signaling. *Current Opinion in Biotechnology* 15:78-81. 2004
9. Dawn Cotter, Purnima Guda, Eoin Fahy and Shankar Subramaniam. MitoProteome: Mitochondrial Protein Sequence Database and Annotation System. *Nucleic Acids Research* 32: D463-D467. 2004.
10. Robin T. Shealy, Anuradha D. Murphy, Rampriya Ramarathnam, Eric Jakobsson and Shankar Subramaniam. Sequence-Function Analysis of the K<sup>+</sup>-Selective Family of Ion Channels Using a Comprehensive Alignment and the KcsA Channel Structure. *Biophys J* 84:2929-2942, 2003.
11. Dennis R. Livesay, Per Jambeck, Atipat Rojnuckarin and Shankar Subramaniam. Conservation of Electrostatic Properties within Enzyme Families and Superfamilies. *Biochemistry* 42:3464-3473 2003.
12. Cornelius G. Hunter and Shankar Subramaniam. Protein Fragment Clustering and Canonical Local Shapes. *Proteins: Structure, Function and Genetics*. 50:580-588 2003.
13. Cornelius G. Hunter and Shankar Subramaniam. Protein Local Structure Prediction from Sequence. *Proteins: Structure, Function and Genetics*. 50:572-579 2003.
14. Subramaniam S, 2002, Bioinformatics of Cellular Signaling, Bock G and Goode J, eds, *'In silico' simulation of Biological Processes*, Wiley, Chichester (Novartis Foundation symposium 247), p 104-118.
15. Joshua Li, Yuhong Ning, Warren Hedley, Brian Saunders, Yongsheng Chen, Nicole Tindill, Timo Hannay and Shankar Subramaniam. The Molecule Pages database. *Nature* 420:716-717. 2002.
16. Gilman AG, Simon MI, Bourne HR, Harris BA, Long R, Ross EM, Stull JT, Taussig R, Bourne HR, Arkin AP, Cobb MH, Cyster JG, Devreotes PN, Ferrell JE, Fruman D, Gold M, Weiss A, Stull JT, Berridge MJ, Cantley LC, Catterall WA, Coughlin SR, Olson EN, Smith TF, Brugge JS, Botstein D, Dixon JE, Hunter T, Lefkowitz RJ, Pawson AJ, Sternberg PW, Varmus H, Subramaniam S, Sinkovits RS, Li J, Mock D, Ning Y, Saunders B, Sternweis PC, Hilgemann D, Scheuermann RH, DeCamp D, Hsueh R, Lin KM, Ni Y, Seaman WE, Simpson PC, O'Connell TD, Roach T, Simon MI, Choi S, Eversole-Cire P, Fraser I, Mumby MC, Zhao Y, Brekken D, Shu H, Meyer T, Chandy G, Heo WD, Liou J, O'Rourke N, Verghese M, Mumby SM, Han H, Brown HA, Forrester JS, Ivanova P, Milne SB, Casey PJ, Harden TK, Arkin AP, Doyle J, Gray ML, Meyer T, Michnick S, Schmidt MA, Toner M, Tsien RY, Natarajan M, Ranganathan R, Sambrano GR; Participating investigators and scientists of the Alliance for Cellular Signaling. Overview of the Alliance for Cellular Signaling. *Nature*. 420(6916):703-6, 2002.
17. Eckersley P, Egan GF, Amari S, Beltrame F, Bennett R, Bjaalie JG, Dalkara T, De Schutter E, Gonzalez C, Grillner S, Herz A, Hoffmann KP, Jaaskelainen IP, Koslow SH, Lee SY, Matthiessen L, Miller PL, da Silva FM, Novak M, Ravindranath V, Ritz R, Ruotsalainen U, Subramaniam S, Toga AW, Usui S, van Pelt J, Verschure P, Willshaw D, Wrobel A, Tang Y; OECD Working Group on Neuroinformatics. Neuroscience data and tool sharing: a legal and policy framework for neuroinformatics. *Neuroinformatics*. 1(2):149-65,2003.
18. Shun-Ichi Amari, Francesco Beltrame, Jan G. Bjaalie, Turgay Dalkara, Erik De Schutter, Gary F. Egan, Nigel H. Goddard, Carmen Gonzalez Sten Grillner, Andreas Herz, K.-Peter Hoffmann, Iiro Jaaskelainen, Stephen H. Koslow, Soo-Young Lee, Line Matthiessen, perry L. Miller, Fernando Mira Da Silva, Mirko Novak, Viji Ravindranath, Raphael Ritz, Ulla Ruotsalainen, Vaclav Sebestra, Shankar Subramaniam, Yiyuan Tang, Arthur W. Toga, Shiro Usui, Jaap Van Pelt, Paul Verschure, David Willshaw and Andrzej Wrobel. *Neuroinformatics: The Integration of Shared Databases and Tools*

- Towards Integrative Neuroscience. Journal of Integrative Neuroscience Vol. 1 No. 2 (2002) 117-128.
19. C. G. Hunter and S. Subramaniam. A Natural Coordinate Representation for the Protein Backbone Structure. Proteins: Structure, Function and Genetics. 49:206-215. 2003.
  20. R. J. Mashl, H. L. Scott, Subramaniam and E. Jakobsson. Molecular Simulation of Dioleoylphosphatidylcholine Lipid Bilayers at Differing Levels of Hydration. Biophys. J. 81:3005-3015, 2001
  21. M. Farnum and S. Subramaniam. Assessment of CASP Models by a Knowledge-based Potential. Submitted to Proteins: Structure, Function and Genetics. 2001.
  22. Y. Fan and S. Subramaniam. Structure-specific Scoring Matrices. Submitted to J. Comput. Biology.
  23. D. R. Livesay and S. Subramaniam. Conserved sequence and structural association motifs in antibody-protein and antibody-hapten complexes. Submitted to Mol. Immunology. 2001.
  24. D. R. Livesay and S. Subramaniam. Electrostatics as the *leit-motif* of structural evolution in the CuZn superoxide dismutase protein family. Submitted to Biophys. J. 2001.
  25. M. Farnum, R. Leary and S. Subramaniam. Statistical Potentials and Folding Funnels for Protein Energy Landscapes. Manuscript in Preparation.
  26. J. Schnitzer and S. Subramaniam. Association of Cytochrome P450 and Ferredoxins: Structure of Complexes from Brownian Simulations. Manuscript in Preparation.
  27. Y. Fan and S. Subramaniam. A Novel Strategy for Family-Specific Sequence Scoring Matrices. Manuscript in preparation.
  28. Bornheimer, S.J., Maurya, M., Farquhar, M. and Subramaniam, S. Computational Modeling of the GTPase Cycle and its Regulation. Submitted to Proceedings of National Academy of Sciences.
  29. Maurya, M., Bornheimer, S.J., Venkatasubramanian, V., and Subramaniam, S. A multidimensional sensitivity analysis based framework for reduced order modeling: GTPase cycle as an exemplar. Submitted to Biophysical Journal.
  30. A. Hsiao, D.S. Worrall, J.M. Olefsky, and S. Subramaniam. Variance-modeled posterior inference of microarray data: Gene-expression changes in 3T3-L1 adipocytes Submitted to Bioinformatics.
  31. Ramarathnam, R. and Subramaniam, S. Phylogenomics of orthologous protein families: comparison of evolutionary profiles. Submitted for publication.
  32. Ramarathnam, R. and Subramaniam, S. Are Evolutionary Relationships in Proteins Driven by Complete Sequences or by Motifs? Submitted for Publication.

#### Refereed Publications 1999-2000

33. R. Ramarathnam and Subramaniam, S. A novel microarray strategy for detecting genes and pathways in microbes with unsequenced genomes. Microbial and Comparative Genomics. 5: 1-9, 2000.
34. Herrgard S, Jambeck P, Taylor SS, Subramaniam S. Domain architecture of a caenorhabditis elegans AKAP suggests a novel AKAP function. FEBS Lett. 486:107-11, 2000.
35. G.Zou, R.D. Skeel, and S. Subramaniam. Biased Brownian Dynamics for Rate Constant Calculation. Biophys. J. 79: 638-645, 2000.
36. Bouzat JL, McNeil LK, Robertson HM, Solter LF, Nixon JE, Beever JE, Gaskins HR, Olsen G, Subramaniam S, Sogin ML, Lewin HA. Phylogenomic Analysis of the Alpha



- Proteasome Gene Family from Early Diverging Eukaryotes, *J. Mol. Evol.* 51:532-43, 2000.
37. A. Rojnuckarin, D. Livesay and S. Subramaniam. Bimolecular reaction simulation using weighted ensemble brownian dynamics and the university of houston brownian dynamics program. *Biophys. J.* 79: 686-693, 2000.
  38. S. Herrgard, C. Gibas and S. Subramaniam, Role of an electrostatic network of residues in the enzymatic action of *Rhizomucor mihei* lipase family. *Biochemistry* 39: 2921-2930 2000.
  39. C. Gibas, P. Jambeck and S. Subramaniam. Role of pH in antibody-antigen complexation, *Methods, Academic Press, Ed. (Linthicum & Subramaniam)* 20: 292-309, 2000.
  40. M. Viswanathan and S. Subramaniam. Molecular Dynamics Simulations of Antibody-Hapten Complexes, *Methods, Academic Press, Ed. (Linthicum & Subramaniam)* 20: 362-371, 2000.
  41. G. Altobelli & S. Subramaniam. Kinetics of Association of Anti-lysozyme Monoclonal Antibody D44.1 and Hen-egg Lysozyme. *Biophys. J.* 79:2954-65, 2000.
  42. S.W. Chiu, M. Clark, S. Subramaniam and E. Jakobsson. Collective Motion Artefacts Arising in Long-Duration Molecular Dynamics. *J. Comp. Chem.* 21:121-131, 2000.
  43. M. Viswanathan, D.S. Linthicum and S. Subramaniam. Analysis of Correlated Motion in Antibody Combining Sites from Molecular Dynamics Simulations, *Methods* 20: 362-371, 2000.
  44. D. Livesay and S. Subramaniam. pH dependence of antibody-hapten association. *Molecular Immunology* 36: 397-410, 1999.
  45. Chiu SW, Jakobsson E, Subramaniam S, Scott HL. Combined monte carlo and molecular dynamics simulation of fully hydrated dioleoyl and palmitoyl-oleoyl phosphatidylcholine lipid bilayers. *Biophys. J.* 77:2462-9, 1999.
  46. M.E. Wall, S. Subramaniam and G.N. Phillips. Protein structure determination using a database of interatomic distance probabilities. *Protein Science* 8:2720-2727, 1999.
  47. Rojnuckarin and Subramaniam, Knowledge-based potentials for protein structure, *Proteins - Structure, Function and Genetics*, 36: 54-67, 1999.
  48. S. W. Chiu, S. Subramaniam and E. Jakobsson, Simulation Study of Gramicidin/Lipid Bilayer System in excess water and lipid I. Structure of the Molecular Complex, *Biophys. Journal*, 76:1929-38, 1999.
  49. S. W. Chiu, S. Subramaniam and E. Jakobsson, Simulation Study of Gramicidin/Lipid Bilayer System in excess water and lipid II. Rates and Mechanisms of Water Transport, *Biophys. Journal*, 76:1939-50, 1999.
  50. S.W. Chiu, M. Clark, E. Jakobsson, S. Subramaniam and H.L. Scott. Optimizations of Hydrocarbon Chain Interaction Parameters" Applications to the Simulation of Fluid Phase Lipid Bilayers. *J. Phys. Chem.* 103: 6323-6327, 1999.
  51. S.-W. Chiu, M. Clark, E. Jakobsson, S. Subramaniam, and H.L. Scott. Application of a Combined Monte Carlo and Molecular Dynamics Method to the Simulation of a Dipalmitoyl Phosphatidylcholine Lipid Bilayer. *J. Comp. Chem.* 20: 1153-1164, 1999.

#### Refereed Publications Pre-1999 (Partial List)

52. S. Subramaniam. The Biology Workbench – A Seamless Database and Analysis Environment for the Biologist, in "Bioinformatics", *Proteins* 32: 1-2, 1998.
53. A. Rojnuckarin, S. Kim, S. Subramaniam. Brownian Dynamics Simulations of Protein Folding: Access to Milliseconds Time Scale and Beyond. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA.* 95:4288-4292, 1998.

54. S. Sivasankar, S. Subramaniam and D. Leckband. Direct Measurement of the pH-Dependent Electrostatic Properties of a Protein Surface. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 95: 12961-12966, 1998.
55. J. Xiong, S. Subramaniam and Govindjee. A knowledge-based three dimensional model of the Photosystem II reaction center of *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii*. *Photosynthesis Research* 56: 229-254, 1998.
56. Jie Liang, Pamidinghantam Sudhakar, Herbert Edelsbrunner, Ping Fu and Shankar Subramaniam. Analytical Shape Computing in Macromolecules. I. Calculation of Surface Areas and Volumes in Proteins. *Proteins* 33:1-17 1998.
57. Jie Liang, Pamidinghantam Sudhakar, Herbert Edelsbrunner, Ping Fu and Shankar Subramaniam. Analytical Shape Computing in Macromolecules. II. Inaccessible Voids and Interfacial Regions in Proteins. *Proteins* 33:18-29 1998.
58. H.L. Scott, E. Jakobsson, S. Subramaniam. Simulations of Lipid Membranes with Atomic Resolution. *Comput. Phys.* 12: 328-333, 1998.
59. C. Gibas, S. Subramaniam, J.A. McCammon, B. Braden, R.J. Poljak. Electrostatic and Structural Factors in Antibody-Antigen Interactions. *Biochemistry* 36(50): 15599-15614, 1997.
60. J. Liang and S. Subramaniam. Computing Molecular Electrostatics A Boundary-Element Method for Protein Electrostatics. *Biophys. J.* 73: 1830-1841, 1997.
61. C. Gibas and S. Subramaniam. Rules for Design of a Soluble Bacteriorhodopsin. *Prot. Eng.* 10: 1175-1190, 1997.
62. G. Pappas and S. Subramaniam. An Evaluation of Protein Secondary Structure Prediction Algorithms. *Tech. In Prot. Chem. VIII*: 783-794, 1997.
63. J. Xiong, S. Subramaniam and Govindjee. Modeling of D1/D2 proteins of the photosystem II reaction center of the cyanobacterium *synechocystis* sp. PCC 6803 and its implications to herbicide and bicarbonate binding. *Prot. Sci.* 5: 2054-2073, 1996.
64. C. Singh, R. Sankararamakrishnan, S. Subramaniam, and E. Jakobsson. Solvation and Water Permeation of a Putative Model for the Pore Region of the Voltage-Gated Sodium Channel *Biophys. J.* 71:2276-2288, 1996.
65. M. Viswanathan, D.W. Pledger, S.Y. Tetin, D.S. Linthicum and S. Subramaniam. Modeling the Structure of the Combining Site of an Antisweet Taste Ligand Monoclonal Antibody NC 10.14. *Biopolymers* 39: 395-406, 1996.
66. S. Subramaniam, D.K. Tchong and J.M. Fenton. Knowledge-Based Methods for Protein Structure Refinement and Prediction. In *Proceedings of the Fourth International Conference on Intelligent Systems in Molecular Biology*, St. Louis, 1996, Ed. David States et al., AAAI Press, California. pp. 218-229, 1996.
67. C. Gibas and S. Subramaniam. Explicit Solvent Models in Protein pKa Calculations. *Bioph. J* 71: 138-147, 1996.
68. C. Mandal, M. M. Anchin, S. Subramaniam and D. S. Linthicum. ABGEN: A knowledge-Based Authomated Approach for Antibody Structure Modeling *Nature - BioTechnology* 14(3): 323-328, 1996.
69. L.Tang, T. G. Ebrey, and S.Subramaniam. Modeling the Structure of Visual Pigments. *Isr. J. Chem.* 35, 198-209, 1995.
70. T. Ioerger, L. Rendell and S. Subramaniam. Change of representation to improve protein fold-class prediction. *Machine Learning Journal* 21:151-176, 1995.
71. R. E. Kozack, M.J. D'Mello and S. Subramaniam. Computer Modeling of Electrostatic Steering and Orientational Effects in Antibody-Antigen Association. *Biophys. J.* 68(3): 807-814, 1995.
72. S. W. Chiu, M. Clark, V. Balaji, S. Subramaniam, H.L. Scott and E. Jakobsson. Incorporation of Surface Tension into Molecular Dynamics Simulation of an Interface: A Fluid Phase Lipid Bilayer Membrane. *Biophys. J.* 69(4):1230-1245, 1995.

73. M. Viswanathan, J. M. Anchin, P. R. Droupadi, C. Mandal, D.S. Linthicum, and S. Subramaniam. Structural Predictions of the Binding Site Architecture for Monoclonal Antibody NC6.8 using Ligand Binding, Spectroscopy and Computer-Aided Molecular Modeling Biochem. J. 69(3): 741-753, 1995.
74. Jakobsson, E., Subramaniam, S., and H. L. Scott. In: Strategic issues in molecular dynamics simulations of membranes in: Membrane Structure and Dynamics, K. Merz and B. Roux, eds. Birkhauser, Boston, 1995.
75. M. Holst, R.E. Kozack, F. Saied, and S. Subramaniam. Treatment of Electrostatic Effects in Proteins: Multigrid-Based- Newton Iterative Method for Solution of the Full Nonlinear Poisson-Boltzmann Equation. Proteins: Structure, Function and Genetics. 18(3): 231-245, 1994.
76. S. Subramaniam. Protein Structure Prediction-Past and Present. In: Protein Structure by Distance Analysis, Ed. H. Bohr & S. Brunak, IOS Press, 1994, pp 3-14.
77. M. Holst, R. E. Kozack, F. Saied, S. Subramaniam. Protein Electrostatics: Rapid Multigrid-Based Newton Algorithm for Solution of the Full Nonlinear Poisson-Boltzmann Equation. J. Biomol. Struct. Dyn. 11(6): 1437-1445, 1994.
78. K. E. Forsten, R. E. Kozack, D. A. Lauffenberger, and S. Subramaniam. Numerical Solution of the Nonlinear Poisson-Boltzmann Equation for a Membrane-Electrolyte System. J. Phys. Chem. 98: 5580-5586, 1994.
79. S. P. Slagle, R. E. Kozack and S. Subramaniam. Role of Electrostatics in Antibody-antigen Association: Anti-hen Egg Lysozyme/Lysozyme Complex (HyHEL-5/HEL) J. Biomol. Struct. Dyn. 12: 439-456, 1994.
80. R. Susnow, C. Schutt, H. Rabitz and S. Subramaniam. Conformational Analysis of Dipeptides - A Sensitivity Analysis Approach. J. Comp. Chem. 15(9): 947-962, 1994.
81. J. M. Anchin, C. Mandal, C. Culberson, S. Subramaniam and D. S. Linthicum. Computer-aided Modeling of the Binding Site Architecture for Eight Monoclonal Antibodies that Bind a High Potency Guanidinium Sweetener. J. Mol. Graphics 12: 257-266, 1994.
82. M. Reczko, H. Bohr, S. Subramaniam, S. Pamidinghantam and A. Hatzigeorgiou. Fold-Class Prediction by Neural Network. In: Protein Structure Prediction-Past and Present. In: Protein Structure by Distance Analysis, Ed. H. Bohr & S. Brunak, IOS Press, 1994, pp 277-286.
83. R.E. Kozack and S. Subramaniam. Brownian Dynamics Simulations of Molecular Recognition in an Antibody-antigen System. Protein Science 2: 915-926, 1993.
84. K. R. Rodgers, C. Su, S. Subramaniam and T. G. Spiro. Hemoglobin T-R Quaternary Structure and Dynamics from Simultaneous Monitoring of Tyrosine and Tryptophan UV Resonance Raman Signals. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 114: 3697-3709, 1992.
85. D. Bassolino, R. E. Bruccoleri & S. Subramaniam. Modeling the Antigen Combining Site of an Anti-dinitrophenyl Antibody. Protein Science 1: 1465-1476, 1992.
86. L. J. Nell, J. A. McCammon and S. Subramaniam. Anti-insulin Antibody. Structure and Conformation I. Molecular Modeling and Mechanics. Biopolymers 32: 11-21 1992.
87. V. Lounnas, B.M. Pettitt, L. Findsen & S. Subramaniam. A microscopic View of Protein Solvation. J. Phys. Chem. 96: 7157-7159, 1992.
88. S. Subramaniam, D. Tcheng, K. Hu, H. Raghavan & L. Rendell. Knowledge Engineering for Protein Structure and Motifs - Design of a Prototype System. IEEE Computer Society Journal (Proceedings of the Fourth International Conference on Software Engineering and Knowledge Engineering, Capri, Italy), 1992, pp. 420-434.
89. D. K. Tcheng and S. Subramaniam. Machine Learning Approaches to Protein Feature Prediction. Int. J. Neural Systems. 3: 183-193, 1992.

90. S. W. Chiu, L. K. Nicholson, M. T. Brennen, S. Subramaniam, Q. Teng, J. A. McCammon, T.A. Cross and E. Jakobsson. Molecular Dynamics Computations and Solid State NMR of the Gramicidin Cation Channel. Biophys. J. **60**: 974-978, 1991.
91. S. W. Chiu, E. Jakobsson, S. Subramaniam and J. A. McCammon. Time Correlation Analysis of Water Transport through Gramicidin Ion Channel. Biophys. J. **60**: 273-285 1991.
92. P. H. Kussie, J. Anchin, S. Subramaniam, J. A. Glazel & D. S. Linthicum. Molecular modeling of a monoclonal-antimorphine antibody. J. Immunology **146**: 4248-4257 1991.
93. J. Anchin, S. Subramaniam and S. Linthicum. Structure and Characterization of an Anti-haloperidol Antibody. J. Mol. Recogn. **4**: 7-15, 1991.
94. J. Shen, C. F. Wong, S. Subramaniam and J. A. McCammon. Partial Electrostatic Charges for the Active Center of Cu, Zn Superoxide Dismutase. J. Comput. Chem. **3**: 346-350, 1990.
95. S. W. Chiu, S. Subramaniam, E. Jakobsson and J. A. McCammon. Water and polypeptide conformations in gramicidin channel-A molecular dynamics study. Biophys. J. **56**: 253-261, 1989.
96. S. Subramaniam, J.A. McCammon and R. J. Bacquet. Probing Molecular Recognition using Simulation Methods. In: The Immune Response to Structurally Defined Proteins, Ed. S. Smith-Gill and E. Sercarz, pp. 169-176, Adenine Press, 1989.
97. C. F. Wong, J. Shen, S. Subramaniam, C. Zheng, and J. A. McCammon. Molecular Dynamics Simulation of Protein Hydration. J. Mol. Liquids. **41**: 193-206, 1989.
98. J. Shen, S. Subramaniam, C. F. Wong, and J. A. McCammon. Superoxide dismutase-Fluctuations in the structure and solvation of the active site channel studied by molecular dynamics simulation. Biopolymers **28**: 2085-2096, 1989.
99. W. J. Mortier, S. K. Ghosh and S. Subramaniam. Electronegativity equalization method and calculation of atomic charges in molecules. J. Amer. Chem. Soc. **108**: 4315, 1986.
100. S. Subramaniam, W. J. Mortier and S. K. Ghosh. Calculation of atomic charges in large molecules. Ann. N.Y. Acad. Sci. **482**: 82- 85, 1986.
101. J. Hermans and S. Subramaniam. The free energy of xenon binding to myoglobin from molecular dynamics simulation. Isr. J. Chem. **27**: 225-227, 1986.
102. S. Subramaniam and R. G. Parr. Electronegativity and hardness as coordinates in structure-stability diagrams. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA. **82**: 264-266, 1985.
103. S. Subramaniam and P.T. Narasimhan. Linear coupled cluster method. II. Analysis of exchange-correlation potentials in beryllium and its isoelectronic series. Phys. Rev. **A29**: 58-64, 1984.
104. S. Subramaniam and P.T. Narasimhan. Linear coupled cluster method. I. Exchange-correlation effects in atoms. Phys. Rev. **A29**: 52-57, 1984.

#### Invited Papers

1. S. Subramaniam. Molecular Recognition in Biological Systems. In Proceedings of the Sixth European Seminar and Exhibition on Computer-Aided Molecular Design, London Press, 1989.
2. S. Subramaniam, J. A. McCammon. Molecular Recognition. In McGraw-Hill Encyclopedia of Science and Technology Yearbook, 1989.
3. L. A. Findsen, S. Subramaniam, and M. Pettitt. Time Scales and Fluctuations in Protein Dynamics: Metmyoglobin in Aqueous Solution. In Principles of Molecular Recognition, Eds., A.D. Buckingham, A.C. Legeon and S.M. Roberts., Chapman Hall, London, 1993.

4. D. K. Tchong and S. Subramaniam. Machine Learning Approaches to Protein Feature Prediction in Proceedings of the Workshop, Neural Networks - Biology to High Energy Physics, Elba, Italy, 1992.
5. T. Ito, L. Rendell and S. Subramaniam. Constructive Induction and Protein Tertiary Structure Prediction. In Proceedings of First Int. Conf. on Intelligent Systems for Molecular Biol. 198-206, Bethesda, MD, 1993.
6. S. Subramaniam. Protein Structure Prediction-Past and Present. In: Protein Structure by Distance Analysis, Ed. H. Bohr & S. Brunak, IOS Press, 1994, pp 3-14.
7. S.W. Chiu, M. Clark, B. Veeraraghavan, S. Subramaniam, H.L. Scott and E. Jakobsson. Simulation of a Fluid Phase Lipid Bilayer Membrane: Incorporation of the Surface Tension into System Boundary Conditions. In Modeling of Biomolecular Structures and Mechanisms. Eds. A. Pullman, J. Jortner and B. Pullman., Kluwer Academic Publishers, The Netherlands, 1994, pp 59-67.
8. S. Subramaniam. Tutorial on Molecular Biology for the Computer Scientist. In ISMB '95, Cambridge, U.K., 1995.

#### **ABSTRACTS AND PRESENTATIONS IN CONFERENCES:**

Over 200 Poster and Conference Presentations.

#### **OUTSIDE COMMITTEES AND REVIEW GROUPS:**

NIH Software Maintenance Review Panel 2004  
NIH NIDDK SAB, NURSA Project 2004  
Editorial Board, Molecular and Cellular Proteomics 2003-to date  
Editorial Board, Bioinformatics 2002- to date  
NRC-CSTB Future of Supercomputing 2003-2004  
NIGMS Grant Review Panel 2002  
NIMH Small Working Group on Neuro Ontology 2002  
NIH Genetics Study Section Ad-Hoc member 2002  
NIH Chair, Special Study Section H 2002  
NSF-NIH Panel on Bioinformatics Education 2001  
NIH Site Visit Panel 2000  
NSF Panel, ITR 2000  
NERSC Grant Review Panel 1999- to date  
Editorial Board, Journal of Molecular Recognition 1998 – to date  
DOE-NSF Panel on "The Future of Computational Biology", 1998  
NIH Genetics Study Section Ad-hoc member 1998  
Proposal Reviewer, W.M. Keck Foundation, 1998  
NIH SBIR-STTR Study Section Ad-hoc member 1998  
State of Illinois Biotechnology Planning Committee 1998  
NSF Molecular Biophysics Division Reviewer 1997  
NIH SBIR-STTR Study Section Ad-hoc member 1997  
NIH Site Visit Panel on an NIH Resource Proposal 1997  
NSF CISE Panel 1997  
NSF Molecular Biophysics Division Reviewer 1997  
NRC Molecular Biology Reviewer 1997  
Review Panel, SIGGRAPH 1997

#### **SCHOOL, COLLEGE, AND CAMPUS COMMITTEES:**

## **UCSD**

Chair, Executive Committee, San Diego Supercomputer Center 2002-present  
Member, Technology Directions Committee, UCSD, 2002-present  
Member, Campus Advisory Committee on Information Technology, 2000-present  
Life Sciences Council, Chair of Education Committee 2002  
Member, Research Council, School of Medicine, 2001-present  
Director, Graduate Program in Bioinformatics, 2000-present  
Chair, Faculty Search Committee, Department of Bioengineering, 2001-2002  
Faculty Search Committee, Department of Biology, UCSD 2000  
Faculty Search Committee, Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry 1999  
Faculty Search Committee, Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry 2000  
Faculty Tenuring and Promotion Committee 2000, 2001  
Faculty Endowed Chair Selection Committee 2000, 2001  
SDSC Executive Committee 2000 – 2002

## **Pre-UCSD**

Member, Biotechnology Council, UIUC 1999  
Co-Director, Keck Institute for Comparative and Functional Genomics 1996-1999  
Member, Bioengineering Planning Committee, UIUC 1999-1999  
School of Molecular and Cellular Biology Strategic Planning Committee, UIUC 1998 – 1999  
University of Illinois Biotechnology Planning Committee 1998 – 1999  
Beckman Institute Advisory Committee 1998 – 1999  
Structural Biology Faculty Search Committee 1998  
Chair, Center for Biophysics Courses and Curriculum Committee, 1996-99  
NCSA Small Allocations Committee 1993 – 2000  
Comparative Genomics Faculty Search Committee 1998  
Statistical Genetics Faculty Search Committee 1997  
Dept. of Molecular and Integrative Physiology Computers Committee, 1994-97  
Campus Undergraduate Honors Awards Committee 1995-97  
Beckman Institute Symposium Committee 1991-97

## **Peer-Review Invitations**

### **Extramural Agencies:**

DOE Computer Allocation Panel  
NSF Panels  
NSF Review Panel  
NIH Resource Site Visit Panel  
NSF Site Visit Panel  
NRC Canada Proposals  
Israel Science Foundation Proposals  
Siggraph 1995, 1996  
NIH Study Sections

### **Reviewer for Journals:**

Proceedings of National Academy of Sciences  
Biophysical Journal

Physical Review A & B  
 Metabolic Engineering  
 Journal of Molecular Biology  
 Proteins - Structure, Function and Genetics  
 Biochemistry  
 Protein Science  
 J. Computational Biology  
 Computational Chemistry  
 J. Physical Chemistry  
 J. American Chemical Society  
 J. Chemical Physics  
 Nature Biotechnology  
 Nature Structural Biology  
 J. Biomol. Struct. Dyn.  
 European J. Biochem.  
 Molecular & Cellular Proteomics

### Research Group Members (past and present)

#### Undergraduate Students

Name	Years	Current Position
Kara Andosca	1993-94	University of New Hampshire
Emerson Que	1994	Medical Resident, U. Chicago
Kevin Scott	1994	Graduate Student, U. Va
Indira Rao Kairam	1995	Physician, New York City
Jeff Johnson	1996	Medical Student, Northwestern U.
Michael Prentiss	1996	Graduate Student, UIUC
Michael Kellen	1997	Graduate Student, U. Washington, Seattle
Shefali Patel	1997	Student, UIUC Dental School
Priya Monrad	1998	Medical Student, Wash. U.
Jigar Patel	2000	Graduate Student, Keck Grad. Institute
Bimal Gandhi	2000	Graduate Student
Winnie Tan	2000	Graduate Student
Dorothy Mei	2001-present	

#### Graduate Students

Name	Degree/Year	Current Position
Laura L. Walsh	Ph.D. Biochemistry, 1994	Principal, Country Day School, IL
Stuart P. Slagle	M.S., Biophysics, 1995	Research Engineer, Motorola, IL
Kexiang Hu	M.S. Computer Science 1996	Grad. Stu. Computer Science, UIUC
Thomas Ioerger	Ph.D., Computer Science 1996	Assistant Professor, Texas A & M Univ.
Malini Viswanathan	Ph.D. Biophysics, 1996	Senior Scientist, Biogen, MA
Cynthia Gibas	Ph.D. Biophysics, 1996	Assistant Professor, Virginia Tech
Dan Oblinger	Ph.D. Computer Science, 1998	Research Engineer, IBM
Atpat Rojnuckarin	Ph.D. Chem. Eng. 1998	Millenium Pharm. MA
George Pappas	Ph.D. Biophysics, 1999	Prof., Catholic Univ. of Brasilia, Brazil
Dennis Livesey	Ph.D. Chemistry 2000	Assistant Professor, Cal Poly, Pomona

Sanna Herrgard	Ph.D. Biochemical Eng. 2000	Research Scientist, Ambit Bioscience
George Hunter	Ph.D. Biophysics, 2001	Sr. Consultant, Software Engineering
Leslie McNeil	Ph.D. Physiology, 2001	Lecturer, Biochemistry, UIUC
James Schnitzer	Ph.D. Chemistry, 2001	
YiPing Fan	Ph.D. Bioeng., 2001	Scientist, Syngenta Inc., San Diego
Priya Ramarathnam	Ph.D Bioeng, 2003	Post Graduate Reseacher, NIH
Per Jambeck	Bioeng., 1997-present	Expected to Graduate 2004
Markus Herrgard	Bioeng., 1998-present	Expected to Graduate 2004
Yohan Kim	Chemistry, 2000-present	
Scott Bornheimer	Chemistry, 2000-present	
Kui Chan	Chemistry, 2000-2003	Lab Assistant, SDSC
Albert Hsaio	Medicine, 2000-present	
Derren Barken	Bioinformatics, 2000-present	
Eugene Ke	Bioinformatics, 2001-present	
Chris Benner	Bioinformatics, 2002-present	

### Postdoctoral Associates

Name	Years	Current Position
Richard Kozack	1991-1994	Research Scientist, Curagen
Henrik Bohr	1993	Professor, Technical University of Denmark
Raj Srinivasan	1993	Research Associate, Johns Hopkins University
Robin Shealy	1993-1997	Senior Scientist, Plant Center, University of Illinois
R. Sankararamakrishnan	1995-1996	Assistant Professor, Indian Institute of Technology
P. Sudhakar	1993-1997	Research Programmer, NCSA
Curt Jamison	1993-1994	Associate Professor, George Mason University
Jie Liang	1994-1997	Associate Professor, Univ. of Illinois at Chicago
Marcus Wagner	1995-1996	Senior Research Programmer, IBM
Chandraleckha Singh	1995-1996	Senior Lecturer, University of Pittsburgh
Mark Whitsitt	1997-1998	Research Scientist, Pioneer Seeds Inc.
Goia Altobelli	1997-1999	Unemployed
Cynthia Gibas	1996-1999	Assistant Professor, VirginiaTech
Michael Farnum	1999-2001	Senior Research Scientist, 3D Pharmaceuticals
Don Steiger	1999-2003	Sr Research Associate, Dept of Bioengineering, UCSD
Andrea Maer	2000-2003	Programmer Analyst, SDSC
T.B.K. Reddy	2000-2003	Scientific Curator, MGI, Jackson Laboratory
Jingwei Meng	2003 - present	
Sylvain Pradervand	2003 - present	
Peng-Liang Wang	2003 - present	
Mano Maurya	2003 - present	

### Visiting Professors

D. Scott Linthicum	1992-93	Professor, Texas A & M University
Larry Scott	1996-97	Professor, Oklahoma State University
Richard Scheuermann	2000-2001	Professor, UT Southwest Medical Center

### Research Programmers/Research Scientists


L. Sivaramakrishnan	1995-1996	Research Programmer, All State Insurance
---------------------	-----------	--



David K. Tcheng	1995-1998	Research Programmer, NCSA
James Bordner	1995-1996	Computer Science Dept. UIUC
Mark Stupar	1995-1997	Programmer, Materials Research Center, UIUC
James Fenton	1995-1999	Senior Scientist, Lion Biosciences
Holly Wilper	1998-1999	Research Programmer, Comp. Sci., UIUC
Amy Stephens	1998-1999	Research Programmer, Champaign County
Cindy Fan	2001-2001	
John Wharton	2000-2002	Research Programmer, SDSC, UCSD
Lothar Krause	2000-2002	
Peter Morrison	2001-2002	
Roger Unwin	1995-2003	Research Programmer, SDSC, UCSD
Brian Saunders	1997-present	
Dawn Cotter	1998-present	
Joshua Li	2000-present	
Madhusudan	2000-present	
Dennis Mock	2000-present	
Ray Bean	2000-present	
Yuhong Ning	2001-present	
Warren Hedley	2001-present	
Chic Barna	2001-present	
Brad Kroeger	2001-present	
Yongsheng Chen	2002-present	
Stephen Lyon	2002-present	
Ilango Vadivelu	2002-present	
Purnima Guda	2002-present	
Babu Guda	2002-present	
Bob Sinkovits	2002-present	
Stuart Johnson	2003-present	
Kui Chan	2003-present	
Yi-Xiong Zhou	2003-present	
Andreia Maer	2003-present	
Eoin Fahy	2003-present	

# Biochemistry

FOURTH EDITION



Lubert Stryer

STANFORD UNIVERSITY

EXHIBIT B



W. H. Freeman and Company  
New York

**Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data**

Stryer, Lubert.

Biochemistry/Lubert Stryer.—4th ed.

Includes index.

ISBN 0-7167-2009-4

1. Biochemistry. I. Title.

QP514.2.S66 1995

574.19'2—dc20


94-22832

©1975, 1981, 1988, 1995 by Lubert Stryer

No part of this book may be reproduced by any mechanical, photographic, or electronic process, or in the form of a phonographic recording, nor may it be stored in a retrieval system, transmitted, or otherwise copied for public or private use, without written permission from the publisher.

Printed in the United States of America

Third printing 1996, KP



Peptides presented by MHC proteins occupy a deep groove that is flanked by  $\alpha$  helices. 381

T-cell receptors are antibody-like proteins containing variable and constant regions. 382

CD8 on killer T cells and CD4 on helper T cells act in concert with T-cell receptors. 383

Human immunodeficiency viruses subvert the immune system by destroying helper T cells. 384

### Chapter 15 Molecular Motors 391

Muscle contains interacting thick and thin protein filaments. 392

Thick and thin filaments slide past each other in muscle contraction. 393

Myosin forms thick filaments, hydrolyzes ATP, and reversibly binds actin. 393

Myosin consists of two globular heads joined to a long  $\alpha$ -helical coiled-coil tail. 394

Actin polymerizes to form thin filaments. 397

The polarity of thick and thin filaments reverses in the middle of a sarcomere. 398

The power stroke in contraction is driven by conformational changes in the myosin S1 head. 399

Myosin-coated beads move unidirectionally on oriented actin cables. 401

Troponin and tropomyosin mediate the regulation of muscle contraction by calcium ion. 402

Actin and myosin have contractile roles in nearly all eukaryotic cells. 404

The beating of cilia and flagella is produced by the dynein-induced sliding of microtubules. 405

The rapid GTP-driven assembly and disassembly of microtubules is central to morphogenesis. 407

Kinesin moves vesicles and organelles unidirectionally along microtubule tracks. 409

A single kinesin motor can move a vesicle on a microtubule track. 410

Bacteria swim by rotating their flagella. 411

Proton flow drives bacterial flagellar rotation. 411

### Chapter 16 Protein Folding and Design 417

Proteins fold by progressive stabilization of intermediates rather than by random search. 418

Molten globules containing native secondary structure are formed early in folding. 419

Ramachandran plots display allowed conformations of the main chain. 420

Amino acid residues have different propensities for forming  $\alpha$  helices,  $\beta$  sheets, and  $\beta$  turns. 422

Folding motifs (supersecondary structures) are formed from  $\alpha$  helices and  $\beta$  strands. 423

Partially folded intermediates can be detected, trapped, and characterized. 424

Lysozyme folds along multiple parallel pathways. 426

Native disulfide-bonded intermediates predominate in the folding of a trypsin inhibitor. 427

Subdomains can fold into native structures. 428


Protein folding in vivo is catalyzed by isomerases and chaperone proteins. 429

Many proteins have been selected in evolution to be marginally stable. 431

Very different amino acid sequences can generate strikingly similar protein folds. 432

An encouraging start has been made in predicting the three-dimensional structure of proteins. 433

Protein design tests our grasp of basic principles and creates useful molecules. 434



## PART III Metabolic Energy: Generation and Storage 441

### Chapter 17 Metabolism: Basic Concepts and Design 443


A thermodynamically unfavorable reaction can be driven by a favorable one. 444

ATP is the universal currency of free energy in biological systems. 445

ATP is continuously formed and consumed. 446

Structural basis of the high phosphoryl transfer potential of ATP. 446

- Creatine phosphate is a reservoir of  $\sim P$  in muscle 447
- ATP hydrolysis shifts the equilibria of coupled reactions by a factor of  $10^8$  448
- NADH and  $FADH_2$  are the major electron carriers in the oxidation of fuel molecules 449



- NADPH is the major electron donor in reductive biosyntheses 450
- Coenzyme A is a universal carrier of acyl groups 451
- Activated carriers exemplify the modular design and economy of metabolism 452
- Most water-soluble vitamins are components of coenzymes 452
- Fat-soluble vitamins participate in diverse processes such as blood clotting and vision 453
- Ascorbate (vitamin C) is required for the hydroxylation of proline residues in collagen 454
- Stages in the extraction of energy from foodstuffs 455
- Metabolic processes are regulated in three principal ways 456
- Nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopy reveals metabolic events in intact organisms 457
- The central role of ribonucleotides in metabolism reflects their ancient origins 459

## Chapter 18 Carbohydrates 463

- Monosaccharides are aldehydes or ketones with multiple hydroxyl groups 464
- Pentoses and hexoses cyclize to form furanose and pyranose rings 466
- Conformation of pyranose and furanose rings 468
- Carbohydrates are joined to alcohols and amines by glycosidic bonds 469
- Phosphorylated sugars are key intermediates in energy generation and biosyntheses 470
- Sucrose, lactose, and maltose are the common disaccharides 471
- Most adults are intolerant of milk because they are deficient in lactase 472

- Glycogen, starch, and dextran are mobilizable stores of glucose 472
- Cellulose, the major structural polymer of plants, consists of linear chains of glucose units 473
- Glycosaminoglycans are anionic polysaccharide chains made of repeating disaccharide units 474
- Oligosaccharides are attached to integral membrane proteins and many secreted proteins 475
- Carbohydrate-binding proteins called lectins mediate many biological recognition processes 476
- Cell adhesion is directed by the interplay of selectins and their carbohydrate partners 478

## Chapter 19 Glycolysis 483

- An overview of key structures and reactions 484
- Formation of fructose 1,6-bisphosphate from glucose 485
- Formation of glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate by cleavage and isomerization 487
- Energy conservation: phosphorylation is coupled to the oxidation of glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate 488
- Formation of ATP from 1,3-bisphosphoglycerate 489
- Formation of pyruvate and the generation of a second ATP 489
- Energy yield in the conversion of glucose into pyruvate 490
- Entry of fructose and galactose into glycolysis 491
- Galactose is highly toxic if the transferase is missing 493
- Phosphofructokinase is the key enzyme in the control of glycolysis 493
- A regulated bifunctional enzyme synthesizes and degrades fructose 2,6-bisphosphate 494
- Hexokinase and pyruvate kinase also set the pace of glycolysis 495
- Diverse fates of pyruvate: ethanol, lactate, or acetyl coenzyme A 496
- The binding site for  $NAD^+$  is very similar in many dehydrogenases 498
- Induced fit in hexokinase: glucose closes the active-site cleft 499
- Aldolase forms a Schiff base with dihydroxyacetone phosphate 499
- Kinetic perfection in catalysis: triose phosphate isomerase in action 500
- A thioester is formed in the oxidation of glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate 501
- Arsenate, an analog of phosphate, poisons by uncoupling oxidation and phosphorylation 503
- 2,3-Bisphosphoglycerate, an allosteric effector of hemoglobin, arises from 1,3-bisphosphoglycerate 503
- Enol phosphates are potent phosphoryl donors 504
- A family of transporters enables glucose to enter and leave animal cells 505


**Chapter 20 Citric Acid Cycle 509**

- Formation of acetyl coenzyme A from pyruvate 509
- An overview of the citric acid cycle 510
- Oxaloacetate condenses with acetyl coenzyme A to form citrate 510
- Citrate is isomerized into isocitrate 510
- Isocitrate is oxidized and decarboxylated to  $\alpha$ -ketoglutarate 511
- Succinyl coenzyme A is formed by the oxidative decarboxylation of  $\alpha$ -ketoglutarate 511
- A high-energy phosphate bond is generated from succinyl coenzyme A 511
- Oxaloacetate is regenerated by oxidation of succinate 512
- Stoichiometry of the citric acid cycle 513
- The pyruvate dehydrogenase complex is a multimeric assembly of three kinds of enzymes 514
- Variation on a multienzyme theme: the  $\alpha$ -ketoglutarate dehydrogenase complex 517
- Beriberi is caused by a deficiency of thiamine 518
- Citrate synthase undergoes a large conformational change on binding oxaloacetate 518
- Symmetric molecules may react asymmetrically 520
- Hydrogen is stereospecifically transferred by NAD<sup>+</sup> dehydrogenases 521
- The citric acid cycle is a source of biosynthetic precursors 522
- The glyoxylate cycle enables plants and bacteria to grow on acetate 522
- Isocitrate dehydrogenase in bacteria is deactivated by phosphorylation at the active site 524
- The pyruvate dehydrogenase complex is regulated by reversible phosphorylation 524
- Control of the citric acid cycle 525
- Appendix: The RS designation of chirality 526*

**Chapter 21 Oxidative Phosphorylation 529**

- Oxidative phosphorylation in eukaryotes occurs in mitochondria 530
- Redox potentials and free-energy changes 531
- A 1.14-volt potential difference between NADH and O<sub>2</sub> drives electron transport through the chain 533
- The respiratory chain consists of three proton pumps linked by two mobile electron carriers 534
- The high-potential electrons of NADH enter the respiratory chain at NADH-Q reductase 534
- Mitochondrial diseases are being discovered 537
- Ubiquinol (QH<sub>2</sub>) is also the entry point for electrons from FADH<sub>2</sub> of flavoproteins 537
- Electrons flow from ubiquinol to cytochrome *c* through cytochrome reductase 537

- Cytochrome oxidase catalyzes the transfer of electrons from cytochrome *c* to O<sub>2</sub> 539
- Electrostatic interactions are critical in the docking of cytochrome *c* with its reaction partners 541
- Electrons can be transferred between groups that are not in contact 542
- The conformation of cytochrome *c* has remained essentially constant for more than a billion years 543
- Electron transfers in the respiratory chain can be blocked by specific inhibitors 544
- Oxidation and phosphorylation are coupled by a proton-motive force 544
- ATP is synthesized by an enzyme complex made of a proton-conducting F<sub>0</sub> unit and a catalytic F<sub>1</sub> unit 546
- Proton flow through ATP synthase leads to the release of tightly bound ATP 547
- Electrons from cytosolic NADH enter mitochondria by shuttles 548
- The entry of ADP into mitochondria is coupled to the exit of ATP by the ATP-ADP translocase 550
- Mitochondrial transporters for metabolites have a common tripartite motif 551
- The complete oxidation of glucose yields about 30 ATP 551
- The rate of oxidative phosphorylation is determined by the need for ATP 552



- The proton gradient can be short-circuited to generate heat 553
- Toxic derivatives of O<sub>2</sub> such as superoxide radical are scavenged by protective enzymes 553
- Power transmission by proton gradients: a central motif of bioenergetics 555

**Chapter 22 Pentose Phosphate Pathway and Gluconeogenesis 559**

- The pentose phosphate pathway generates NADPH and synthesizes five-carbon sugars 559
- Two NADPH are generated in the conversion of glucose 6-phosphate into ribulose 5-phosphate 560

Ribulose 5-phosphate is isomerized to ribose 5-phosphate through an enediol intermediate 560

The pentose phosphate pathway and glycolysis are linked by transketolase and transaldolase 561

The rate of the pentose phosphate pathway is controlled by the level of  $\text{NADP}^+$  562

The flow of glucose 6-phosphate depends on the need for  $\text{NADPH}$ , ribose 5-phosphate, and  $\text{ATP}$  563

The pentose phosphate pathway is much more active in adipose tissue than in muscle 565

TPP, the prosthetic group of transketolase, transfers a two-carbon activated aldehyde 566

Activated dihydroxyacetone is carried by transaldolase as a Schiff base 567

Glucose 6-phosphate dehydrogenase deficiency causes a drug-induced hemolytic anemia 567

Glutathione reductase transfers electrons from  $\text{NADPH}$  to oxidized glutathione through  $\text{FAD}$  569

Glucose can be synthesized from noncarbohydrate precursors 569

Gluconeogenesis is not a reversal of glycolysis 570

Biotin is a mobile carrier of activated  $\text{CO}_2$  572

Pyruvate carboxylase is activated by acetyl CoA 573

Oxaloacetate is shuttled into the cytosol and converted into phosphoenolpyruvate 573

Six high-energy phosphate bonds are spent in synthesizing glucose from pyruvate 574

Gluconeogenesis and glycolysis are reciprocally regulated 574

Substrate cycles amplify metabolic signals and produce heat 576

Lactate and alanine formed by contracting muscle are converted into glucose by the liver 577

### Chapter 23 Glycogen Metabolism 581

Phosphorylase catalyzes the phosphorolytic cleavage of glycogen into glucose 1-phosphate 582

A debranching enzyme also is needed for the breakdown of glycogen 583

Phosphoglucomutase converts glucose 1-phosphate into glucose 6-phosphate 584

Liver contains glucose 6-phosphatase, a hydrolytic enzyme absent from muscle 585

Glycogen is synthesized and degraded by different pathways 585

UDP-glucose is an activated form of glucose 586

Glycogen synthase catalyzes the transfer of glucose from UDP-glucose to a growing chain 587

A branching enzyme forms  $\alpha$ -1,6 linkages 587

Glycogen is a very efficient storage form of glucose 588


Pyridoxal phosphate participates in the phosphorolytic cleavage of glycogen 588

Phosphorylase is regulated by allosteric interactions and reversible phosphorylation 590

Structural changes at the subunit interface are transmitted to the catalytic sites 591

Phosphorylase kinase is activated by phosphorylation and calcium ion 593

Glycogen synthase is inactivated by the phosphorylation of a specific serine residue 593



A cyclic AMP cascade coordinately controls glycogen synthesis and breakdown 594

Protein phosphatase 1 reverses the regulatory effects of kinases on glycogen metabolism 596

Insulin stimulates glycogen synthesis by activating protein phosphatase 1 597

Glycogen metabolism in the liver regulates the blood glucose level 597

Glycogen-storage diseases are produced by a variety of genetic defects 598

### Chapter 24 Fatty Acid Metabolism 603

Nomenclature of fatty acids 603

Fatty acids vary in chain length and degree of unsaturation 604

Triacylglycerols are highly concentrated energy stores 605

Triacylglycerols are hydrolyzed by cyclic AMP-regulated lipases 605

Fatty acids are degraded by the sequential removal of two-carbon units 606

Fatty acids are linked to coenzyme A before they are oxidized 606

Carnitine carries long-chain activated fatty acids into the mitochondrial matrix 607

Acetyl CoA,  $\text{NADH}$ , and  $\text{FADH}_2$  are generated in each round of fatty acid oxidation 608

The complete oxidation of palmitate yields 106  $\text{ATP}$  610

An isomerase and a reductase are required for the oxidation of unsaturated fatty acids 611

- Odd-chain fatty acids yield propionyl coenzyme A in the final thiolysis step 612
- Ketone bodies are formed from acetyl coenzyme A when fat breakdown predominates 612
- Acetoacetate is a major fuel in some tissues 613
- Animals cannot convert fatty acids into glucose 613
- Fatty acids are synthesized and degraded by different pathways 614
- The formation of malonyl coenzyme A is the committed step in fatty acid synthesis 614
- Intermediates in fatty acid synthesis are attached to an acyl carrier protein (ACP) 615
- The elongation cycle in fatty acid synthesis 616
- Stoichiometry of fatty acid synthesis 617
- Fatty acids are synthesized in eukaryotes by a multifunctional enzyme complex 618
- The flexible phosphopantetheinyl unit of ACP carries substrate from one active site to another 619
- Citrate carries acetyl groups from mitochondria to the cytosol for fatty acid synthesis 620
- Sources of NADPH for fatty acid synthesis 620
- Acetyl CoA carboxylase plays a key role in controlling fatty acid metabolism 621
- Elongation and unsaturation of fatty acids are carried out by accessory enzyme systems 622
- Eicosanoid hormones are derived from polyunsaturated fatty acids 624
- Aspirin inhibits the synthesis of prostaglandins by acetylating the cyclooxygenase 625


## Chapter 25 Amino Acid Degradation and the Urea Cycle 629

- $\alpha$ -Amino groups are converted into ammonium ion by oxidative deamination of glutamate 630
- Pyridoxal phosphate forms Schiff base intermediates in aminotransferases 631
- The active-site cleft of aspartate aminotransferase closes when substrate forms a Schiff base linkage 632
- Pyridoxal phosphate, a highly versatile coenzyme, catalyzes many reactions of amino acids 633
- Serine and threonine can be directly deaminated 634
- $\text{NH}_4^+$  is converted into urea in most terrestrial vertebrates and then excreted 634
- The urea cycle is linked to the citric acid cycle 636
- Inherited defects of the urea cycle cause hyperammonemia and can lead to brain damage 636
- Carbon atoms of degraded amino acids emerge in major metabolic intermediates 638
- The  $\text{C}_3$  family: alanine, serine, and cysteine are converted into pyruvate 639
- The  $\text{C}_4$  family: aspartate and asparagine are converted into oxaloacetate 639

The  $\text{C}_5$  family: several amino acids are converted into  $\alpha$ -ketoglutarate through glutamate 640

Succinyl coenzyme A is a point of entry for several nonpolar amino acids 641

The cobalt atom of vitamin  $\text{B}_{12}$  is bonded to the 5'-carbon of deoxyadenosine in coenzyme  $\text{B}_{12}$  642



Coenzyme  $\text{B}_{12}$  provides free radicals to catalyze intramolecular migrations involving hydrogen 643

Absorption of cobalamin is impaired in pernicious anemia 644

Several inherited defects of methylmalonyl coenzyme A metabolism are known 645

Leucine is degraded to acetyl coenzyme A and acetoacetate 645

Phenylalanine and tyrosine are degraded by oxygenases to acetoacetate and fumarate 647

Garrod's discovery of inborn errors of metabolism 648

A block in the hydroxylation of phenylalanine can lead to severe mental retardation 649

## Chapter 26 Photosynthesis 653

The primary events of photosynthesis occur in thylakoid membranes 654

Discovery of the basic equation of photosynthesis 655

Chlorophylls trap solar energy 655

Photons absorbed by many chlorophylls funnel into a reaction center 656

$\text{O}_2$  evolved in photosynthesis comes from water 657

Hill reaction: illuminated chloroplasts evolve  $\text{O}_2$  and reduce electron acceptors 658

Two light reactions interact in photosynthesis 658

Photosystems I and II have complementary roles 659

Photosystem II transfers electrons from water to plastoquinone and generates a proton gradient 659

Manganese ions play a key role in extracting electrons from water to form  $\text{O}_2$  661



A proton gradient is formed as electrons flow through cytochrome *b<sub>f</sub>* from photosystem II to I 662

Photosystem I generates NADPH by forming reduced ferredoxin, a powerful reductant 663

Cyclic electron flow through photosystem I leads to the production of ATP instead of NADPH 664

ATP synthesis is driven by a proton gradient across the thylakoid membrane 665

ATP synthase of chloroplasts closely resembles those of bacteria and mitochondria 665

Photosystem I and ATP synthase are located in unstacked thylakoid membranes 666

Phycobilisomes serve as molecular light pipes in cyanobacteria and red algae 667

A bacterial photosynthetic reaction center has been visualized at atomic resolution 668

Many herbicides inhibit photosynthesis by blocking the reduction of a quinone 670

Recurring motifs and mechanisms in photosynthetic reaction centers 670

The path of carbon in photosynthesis was traced by pulse labeling with radioactive CO<sub>2</sub> 671

CO<sub>2</sub> reacts with ribulose 1,5-bisphosphate to form two molecules of 3-phosphoglycerate 672

Catalytic imperfection: rubisco also catalyzes a wasteful oxygenase reaction 673

Hexose phosphates are made from phosphoglycerate, and ribulose bisphosphate is regenerated 674

Starch and sucrose are the major carbohydrate stores in plants 676

Three ATP and two NADPH are used to bring CO<sub>2</sub> to the level of a hexose 676

Thioredoxin plays a key role in coordinating the light and dark reactions of photosynthesis 677

The C<sub>4</sub> pathway of tropical plants accelerates photosynthesis by concentrating CO<sub>2</sub> 678

Plasmalogens and other ether phospholipids are formed from dihydroxyacetone phosphate 688

Phospholipases serve as digestive enzymes and as generators of signal molecules 689

Synthesis of ceramide, the basic structural unit of sphingolipids 689

Gangliosides are carbohydrate-rich sphingolipids that contain acidic sugars 690

Tay-Sachs disease is an inherited disorder of ganglioside breakdown 691

Cholesterol is synthesized from acetyl coenzyme A 691

Mevalonate and squalene are intermediates in the synthesis of cholesterol 692

Acetyl CoA and acetoacetyl CoA condense to form 3-HMG CoA, the precursor of mevalonate 693

Squalene (C<sub>30</sub>) is synthesized from six molecules of isopentenyl pyrophosphate (C<sub>5</sub>) 694

Squalene epoxide cyclizes to lanosterol, which is converted into cholesterol 695


Bile salts derived from cholesterol facilitate the digestion of lipids 696

HMG CoA reductase plays a key role in setting the rate of cholesterol synthesis 696

Cholesterol and triacylglycerols are transported to target cells by lipoproteins 697

The low-density-lipoprotein receptor plays a key role in controlling cholesterol metabolism 699

The LDL receptor is a transmembrane protein with five different functional domains 700



## PART IV Biosynthesis of Building Blocks

683

### Chapter 27 Biosynthesis of Membrane Lipids and Steroids 685

Phosphatidate is an intermediate in the synthesis of phosphoglycerides and triacylglycerols 685

CDP-diacylglycerol is the activated intermediate in the de novo synthesis of some phosphoglycerides 686

Phosphatidyl ethanolamine and phosphatidyl choline can be formed from phosphatidyl serine 687

Phosphoglycerides can also be synthesized from a CDP-alcohol intermediate 688

Absence of the LDL receptor leads to hypercholesterolemia and atherosclerosis 700


Lovastatin lowers the blood cholesterol level by inhibiting HMG CoA reductase 701

Nomenclature of steroids 702

Steroid hormones are derived from cholesterol 703

Steroids are hydroxylated by cytochrome P450 monooxygenases that utilize NADPH and O<sub>2</sub> 703

Pregnenolone is formed from cholesterol by cleavage of its side chain 705



## Glycolysis

We begin our consideration of the generation of metabolic energy with glycolysis, a nearly universal pathway in biological systems. *Glycolysis is the sequence of reactions that converts glucose into pyruvate with the concomitant production of a relatively small amount of ATP.* In aerobic organisms, glycolysis is the prelude to the citric acid cycle and the electron transport chain, which together harvest most of the energy contained in glucose. Under aerobic conditions, pyruvate enters mitochondria, where it is completely oxidized to  $\text{CO}_2$  and  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$ . If the supply of oxygen is insufficient, as in actively contracting muscle, pyruvate is converted into lactate. Under anaerobic conditions, yeast transforms pyruvate into ethanol. The formation of ethanol and lactate from glucose are examples of fermentations.

The elucidation of glycolysis has a rich history. Indeed, the development of biochemistry and the delineation of this central pathway went hand in hand. A key discovery was made by Hans Buchner and Eduard Buchner in 1897, quite by accident. They were interested in manufacturing cell-free extracts of yeast for possible therapeutic use. These extracts had to be preserved without using antiseptics such as phenol, and so they decided to try sucrose, a commonly used preservative in kitchen chemistry. They obtained a startling result: sucrose was rapidly fermented into alcohol by the yeast juice. The significance of this finding was immense. *The Buchners demonstrated for the first time that fermentation could occur outside living cells.* The accepted view of their day, asserted by Louis Pasteur in 1860, was that fermentation is inextricably tied to living cells. The chance


### Glycolysis—

Derived from the Greek stem *glyk-*, “sweet,” and the word *lysis*, “dissolution.”

### Fermentation—

An ATP-generating process in which organic compounds act as both donors and acceptors of electrons. Fermentation can occur in the absence of  $\text{O}_2$ . Discovered by Pasteur, who described fermentation as “*la vie sans l’air*” (“life without air”).

**Opening Image:** Three-dimensional structure of phosphofructokinase, a key enzyme in glycolysis. Fructose 6-phosphate (red), a substrate, is next to ADP (blue), which occupies the ATP-binding site. The bacterial enzyme is allosterically activated by ADP (green) at a distant site. Only one of the four subunits of the tetrameric enzyme is shown here. [Drawn from 4pfk.pdb. T. Schirmer and P.R. Evans. *Nature* 343(1990):140. The image was generated by Dr. Anthony Nicholls using GRASP, described in A. Nicholls, K. Sharp, and B. Honig. *Proteins* 11(1991):281.]



Light micrograph of yeast cells.  
[Courtesy of Dr. Randy Schekman.]

#### Enzyme—

A term coined by Friedrich Wilhelm Kühne in 1878 to designate catalytically active substances that had previously been called ferments. Derived from the Greek words *en*, “in,” and *zyme*, “leaven.”

discovery of the Buchners refuted this vitalistic dogma and opened the door to modern biochemistry. *Metabolism became chemistry.*

The next critical contribution was made by Arthur Harden and William Young in 1905. They added yeast juice to a solution of glucose and found that fermentation started almost immediately but soon ceased unless inorganic phosphate was added. They deduced that *inorganic phosphate became linked to a sugar*, and they proceeded to isolate a hexose diphosphate, which was later shown to be fructose 1,6-bisphosphate, a key intermediate in glycolysis. Furthermore, Harden and Young discovered that yeast juice lost its activity if it was dialyzed or heated to 50°C. However, activity was restored when inactive *dialyzed* juice was mixed with inactive *heated* juice. Thus, activity depended on the presence of two kinds of substances: a heat-labile, nondialyzable component (called *zymase*) and a heat-stable, dialyzable fraction (called *cozymase*). We now know that “zymase” consists of a number of enzymes, whereas “cozymase” consists of metal ions, adenosine triphosphate (ATP), adenosine diphosphate (ADP), and coenzymes such as nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide (NAD<sup>+</sup>).

Studies of muscle extracts then showed that many of the reactions of lactic fermentation were the same as those of alcoholic fermentation. *This was an exciting discovery because it revealed an underlying unity in biochemistry.* The complete glycolytic pathway was elucidated by 1940, largely because of the pioneering contributions of Gustav Embden, Otto Meyerhof, Carl Neuberg, Jacob Parnas, Otto Warburg, Gerty Cori, and Carl Cori. Glycolysis is also known as the *Embden-Meyerhof pathway*.







Figure 19-1  
Some fates of glucose.

## AN OVERVIEW OF KEY STRUCTURES AND REACTIONS

Learning the sequence of events in a metabolic pathway is easier with a firm grasp of the structures of the reactants and an understanding of the types of reactions taking place. Glycolytic intermediates have either six or three carbons. The *six-carbon units* are derivatives of *glucose* and *fructose*. The *three-carbon units* are derivatives of *dihydroxyacetone*, *glyceraldehyde*, *glycerate*, and *pyruvate*.




Ester




Anhydride

All intermediates between glucose and pyruvate are *phosphorylated*. The phosphoryl groups in these compounds are linked as either *esters* or *anhydrides*.


1. *Phosphoryl transfer.* A phosphoryl group is transferred from ATP to a glycolytic intermediate, or from the intermediate to ADP, by a *kinase*.




2. *Phosphoryl shift.* A phosphoryl group is shifted from one oxygen atom to another within a molecule by a *mutase*.




3. *Isomerization.* A ketose is converted into an aldose, or vice versa, by an *isomerase*.



4. *Dehydration.* A molecule of water is eliminated by a *dehydratase*.




5. *Aldol cleavage.* A carbon-carbon bond is split in a reversal of an aldol condensation by an *aldolase*.




## FORMATION OF FRUCTOSE 1,6-BISPHOSPHATE FROM GLUCOSE

We now start our journey down the glycolytic pathway. The reactions in this pathway take place in the cell cytosol. The first stage, which is the conversion of glucose into fructose 1,6-bisphosphate, consists of three steps: a phosphorylation, an isomerization, and a second phosphorylation reaction. *The strategy of these initial steps in glycolysis is to trap the substrate*




Electron micrograph of a liver cell. Glycolysis takes place in the cytosol. [Courtesy of Dr. Anne Hubbard.]

in the cell and form a compound that can be readily cleaved into phosphorylated three-carbon units. ATP is subsequently extracted from the three-carbon units.




Glucose enters most cells through specific transport proteins and has one principal fate: it is *phosphorylated* by ATP to form *glucose 6-phosphate*. The transfer of the phosphoryl group from ATP to the hydroxyl group on C-6 of glucose is catalyzed by *hexokinase*.




Phosphoryl transfer is a basic reaction in biochemistry. As was discussed earlier (p. 485), *kinases* are enzymes that catalyze the transfer of a phosphoryl group from ATP to an acceptor. Hexokinase, then, catalyzes the transfer of a phosphoryl group from ATP to a variety of six-carbon sugars (*hexoses*), such as glucose and mannose. *Hexokinase*, like all other *kinases*, requires  $Mg^{2+}$  (or another divalent metal ion such as  $Mn^{2+}$ ) for activity. The divalent metal ion forms a complex with ATP. The structures of two possible  $Mg^{2+}$ -ATP complexes are shown in Figure 19-2.


Figure 19-2  
Modes of binding  $Mg^{2+}$  to ATP.




The next step in glycolysis is the *isomerization* of *glucose 6-phosphate* to *fructose 6-phosphate*. The *six-membered pyranose ring* of glucose 6-phosphate is converted into the *five-membered furanose ring* of fructose 6-phosphate. Recall that the open-chain form of glucose has an aldehyde group on C-1, whereas the open-chain form of fructose has a keto group on C-2. The aldehyde on C-1 reacts with the hydroxyl group on C-5 to form the pyranose ring, whereas the keto group on C-2 reacts with the C-5 hydroxyl to form the furanose ring. Thus, the isomerization of glucose 6-phosphate to fructose 6-phosphate is a *conversion of an aldose into a ketose*.



The open-chain representations of these sugars show the essence of this reaction.




A second phosphorylation reaction follows the isomerization step. *Fructose 6-phosphate* is phosphorylated by ATP to *fructose 1,6-bisphosphate*. This compound was formerly known as *fructose 1,6-diphosphate*. Bisphosphate means two separate phosphate groups, whereas diphosphate (as in adenosine diphosphate) means two phosphate groups joined by an anhydride bond. Hence, the name *fructose 1,6-bisphosphate* should be used.



This reaction is catalyzed by *phosphofructokinase*, an allosteric enzyme. The pace of glycolysis is critically dependent on the level of activity of this enzyme, which is allosterically controlled by ATP and several other metabolites (p. 493).

### FORMATION OF GLYCERALDEHYDE 3-PHOSPHATE BY CLEAVAGE AND ISOMERIZATION

The second stage of glycolysis consists of four steps, starting with the splitting of fructose 1,6-bisphosphate into *glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate* and *dihydroxyacetone phosphate*. The remaining steps in glycolysis involve three-carbon units rather than six-carbon units.





This reaction is catalyzed by *aldolase*. This enzyme derives its name from the nature of the reverse reaction, an aldol condensation.

Glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate is on the direct pathway of glycolysis. Dihydroxyacetone phosphate is not, but it can be readily converted into glycer-

#### Aldol condensation—

The combination of two carbonyl compounds (e.g., an aldehyde and a ketone) to form an aldol (a  $\beta$ -hydroxy-carbonyl compound).







**Figure 19-3**

Triose phosphate isomerase consists of a central core of eight parallel  $\beta$  strands (red) surrounded by eight  $\alpha$  helices (green). Connecting regions are shown in yellow. This structural motif, called an  $\alpha\beta$  barrel, is also found in one of the domains of pyruvate kinase. [After a drawing kindly provided by Dr. Jane Richardson.]

aldehyde 3-phosphate. These compounds are isomers: dihydroxyacetone phosphate is a ketose, whereas glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate is an aldose. The isomerization of these three-carbon phosphorylated sugars is catalyzed by *triose phosphate isomerase* (Figure 19-3). This reaction is rapid and reversible. At equilibrium, 96% of the triose phosphate is dihydroxyacetone phosphate. However, the reaction proceeds readily from dihydroxyacetone phosphate to glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate because of efficient removal of this product by subsequent reactions.



Thus, two molecules of glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate are formed from one molecule of fructose 1,6-bisphosphate by the sequential action of aldolase and triose phosphate isomerase. The economy of metabolism is evident in this reaction sequence. The isomerase funnels dihydroxyacetone into the main glycolytic pathway—a separate set of reactions are not needed.

### ENERGY CONSERVATION: PHOSPHORYLATION IS COUPLED TO THE OXIDATION OF GLYCERALDEHYDE 3-PHOSPHATE

The preceding steps in glycolysis have transformed one molecule of glucose into two molecules of glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate. No energy has yet been extracted. On the contrary, two molecules of ATP have been invested thus far. We come now to a series of steps that harvest some of the energy contained in glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate. The initial reaction in this sequence is the *conversion of glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate into 1,3-bisphosphoglycerate (1,3-BPG)*, a reaction catalyzed by *glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate dehydrogenase*. In the earlier literature, 1,3-BPG was known as 1,3-diphosphoglycerate (1,3-DPG).

A *high-potential phosphorylated compound* is generated in this oxidation-reduction reaction. The aldehyde group at C-1 is converted into an *acyl phosphate*, which is a *mixed anhydride* of phosphoric acid and a carboxylic

acid. The energy for the formation of this anhydride, which has a high phosphoryl group-transfer potential, comes from the oxidation of the aldehyde group. Note that C-1 in 1,3-BPG is at the oxidation level of a carboxylic acid.  $\text{NAD}^+$  (p. 450) is the electron acceptor in this oxidation. The mechanism of this complex reaction, which couples oxidation and phosphorylation, will be discussed later in this chapter (p. 501).

### FORMATION OF ATP FROM 1,3-BISPHOSPHOGLYCERATE



In the next step, the high phosphoryl transfer potential of 1,3-BPG is used to generate ATP. Indeed, this is the first ATP-generating reaction in glycolysis. *Phosphoglycerate kinase* catalyzes the transfer of the phosphoryl group from the acyl phosphate of 1,3-BPG to ADP. ATP and 3-phosphoglycerate are the products.

Thus, the outcomes of the reactions catalyzed by glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate dehydrogenase and phosphoglycerate kinase are

1. Glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate, an aldehyde, is oxidized to 3-phosphoglycerate, a carboxylic acid.
2.  $\text{NAD}^+$  is concomitantly reduced to NADH.
3. ATP is formed from  $\text{P}_i$  and ADP.

### FORMATION OF PYRUVATE AND THE GENERATION OF A SECOND ATP

In the last stage of glycolysis, 3-phosphoglycerate is converted into pyruvate, and a second molecule of ATP is formed.



The first reaction is a rearrangement. The position of the phosphoryl group shifts in the conversion of 3-phosphoglycerate into 2-phosphoglycerate, a reaction catalyzed by *phosphoglycerate mutase*. In general, a *mutase* is an enzyme that catalyzes the intramolecular shift of a chemical group, such as a phosphoryl group.

In the second reaction, an *enol* is formed by the dehydration of 2-phosphoglycerate. *Enolase* catalyzes the formation of *phosphoenolpyruvate*. This dehydration reaction markedly elevates the group transfer potential of the phosphoryl group. An *enol phosphate* has a high phosphoryl transfer potential, whereas the phosphate ester of an ordinary alcohol has a low one. The reasons for this difference will be discussed later (p. 504).

In the last reaction, *pyruvate* is formed, and ATP is generated concomitantly. The virtually irreversible transfer of a phosphoryl group from phosphoenolpyruvate to ADP is catalyzed by *pyruvate kinase*.




## ENERGY YIELD IN THE CONVERSION OF GLUCOSE INTO PYRUVATE

The net reaction in the transformation of glucose into pyruvate is

$$\text{Glucose} + 2 \text{P}_i + 2 \text{ADP} + 2 \text{NAD}^+ \longrightarrow 2 \text{pyruvate} + 2 \text{ATP} + 2 \text{NADH} + 2 \text{H}^+ + 2 \text{H}_2\text{O}$$

Thus, *two molecules of ATP are generated in the conversion of glucose into two molecules of pyruvate*. A summary of the steps in which ATP is consumed or formed is given in Table 19-1. Recall that a pair of three-carbon units are formed from fructose 1,6-bisphosphate. The reactions of glycolysis are summarized in Figure 19-4 and Table 19-2.

**Figure 19-4**  
The glycolytic pathway.



**Table 19-1**  
Consumption and generation of ATP in glycolysis

Reaction	ATP change per glucose
Glucose $\longrightarrow$ glucose 6-phosphate	-1
Fructose 6-phosphate $\longrightarrow$ fructose 1,6-bisphosphate	-1
2 1,3-Bisphosphoglycerate $\longrightarrow$ 2 3-phosphoglycerate	+2
2 Phosphoenolpyruvate $\longrightarrow$ 2 pyruvate	+2
Net	+2

**Table 19-2**  
Reactions of glycolysis


Step	Reaction	Enzyme	Type*	$\Delta G^{\circ}$	$\Delta G$
1	Glucose + ATP $\longrightarrow$ glucose 6-phosphate + ADP + H <sup>+</sup>	Hexokinase	a	-4.0	-8.0
2	Glucose 6-phosphate $\rightleftharpoons$ fructose 6-phosphate	Phosphoglucose isomerase	c	+0.4	-0.6
3	Fructose 6-phosphate + ATP $\longrightarrow$ fructose 1,6-bisphosphate + ADP + H <sup>+</sup>	Phosphofructokinase	a	-3.4	-5.3
4	Fructose 1,6-bisphosphate $\rightleftharpoons$ dihydroxyacetone phosphate + glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate	Aldolase	e	+5.7	-0.3
5	Dihydroxyacetone phosphate $\rightleftharpoons$ glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate	Triose phosphate isomerase	c	+1.8	+0.6
6	Glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate + P <sub>i</sub> + NAD <sup>+</sup> $\rightleftharpoons$ 1,3-bisphosphoglycerate + NADH + H <sup>+</sup>	Glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate dehydrogenase	f	+1.5	-0.4
7	1,3-Bisphosphoglycerate + ADP $\rightleftharpoons$ 3-phosphoglycerate + ATP	Phosphoglycerate kinase	a	-4.5	+0.3
8	3-Phosphoglycerate $\rightleftharpoons$ 2-phosphoglycerate	Phosphoglycerate mutase	b	+1.1	+0.2
9	2-Phosphoglycerate $\rightleftharpoons$ phosphoenolpyruvate + H <sub>2</sub> O	Enolase	d	+0.4	-0.8
10	Phosphoenolpyruvate + ADP + H <sup>+</sup> $\longrightarrow$ pyruvate + ATP	Pyruvate kinase	a	-7.5	-4.0

\*Reaction type: (a) phosphoryl transfer; (b) phosphoryl shift; (c) isomerization; (d) dehydration; (e) aldol cleavage; (f) phosphorylation coupled to oxidation.

Note:  $\Delta G^{\circ}$  and  $\Delta G$  are expressed in kcal/mol.  $\Delta G$ , the actual free-energy change, has been calculated from  $\Delta G^{\circ}$  and known concentrations of reactants under typical physiologic conditions. Glycolysis can proceed only if the  $\Delta G$  values of all reactions are negative. The small positive  $\Delta G$  values of three of the above reactions indicate that the concentrations of metabolites in vivo in cells undergoing glycolysis are not precisely known.

## ENTRY OF FRUCTOSE AND GALACTOSE INTO GLYCOLYSIS

Let us consider how two other abundant sugars—fructose and galactose—can be funneled into the glycolytic pathway. Recall that the hydrolysis of sucrose (common table sugar) yields fructose and glucose, and that hydrolysis of lactose (milk sugar) gives galactose and glucose. Fructose itself is present in many foods (e.g., honey). A typical daily intake of fructose is 100 grams. Much of it is metabolized by the liver, using the *fructose 1-phosphate pathway* (Figure 19-5). The first step is the phosphorylation of fructose to fructose 1-phosphate by fructokinase. Fructose 1-phosphate is then split into glyceraldehyde and dihydroxyacetone phosphate. This aldol cleavage is catalyzed by a specific fructose 1-phosphate aldolase. Glyceraldehyde is then phosphorylated to glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate by triose kinase so that it too can enter glycolysis.




**Figure 19-5**


Fructose enters the glycolytic pathway via the fructose 1-phosphate pathway.

Alternatively, fructose can be phosphorylated to fructose 6-phosphate by *hexokinase*. However, the affinity of hexokinase for glucose is 20 times as high as it is for fructose. Little fructose 6-phosphate is formed in the liver because of the abundance of glucose relative to fructose in this organ. In contrast, adipose tissue has much more fructose than glucose. Hence, the formation of fructose 6-phosphate is not competitively inhibited to an appreciable extent, and most of the fructose in adipose tissue is metabolized through fructose 6-phosphate.


Galactose is converted into glucose 6-phosphate in four steps. The first reaction in the *galactose-glucose interconversion pathway* is the phosphorylation of galactose to galactose 1-phosphate by *galactokinase*.



Galactose 1-phosphate then acquires a uridyl group from uridine diphosphate glucose (UDP-glucose), an intermediate in the synthesis of glycosidic linkages (p. 586). The products of this reaction, which is catalyzed by *galactose 1-phosphate uridyl transferase*, are UDP-galactose and glucose 1-phosphate.



The galactose moiety of UDP is then epimerized to glucose. The configuration of the hydroxyl group at C-4 is inverted by *UDP-galactose 4-epimerase*. The sum of the reactions catalyzed by galactokinase, the transferase, and the epimerase is



Note that UDP-glucose is not consumed in the conversion of galactose to glucose because it is regenerated from UDP-galactose by the epimerase. This reaction is reversible, and the product of the reverse direction is also important. *The conversion of UDP-glucose into UDP-galactose is essential for the synthesis of galactosyl residues in complex polysaccharides and glycoproteins if the amount of galactose in the diet is inadequate to meet these needs.*

Finally, glucose 1-phosphate, formed from galactose, is isomerized to glucose 6-phosphate by *phosphoglucomutase*. We shall return to this reaction when we consider the synthesis and degradation of glycogen, which proceeds through glucose 1-phosphate (p. 584).

## GALACTOSE IS HIGHLY TOXIC IF THE TRANSFERASE IS MISSING

The absence of galactose 1-phosphate uridyl transferase causes *galactosemia*, a severe disease that is inherited as an autosomal recessive trait. The metabolism of galactose in people who have this disease is blocked at galactose 1-phosphate. Afflicted infants fail to thrive. Vomiting or diarrhea occurs when milk is consumed, and enlargement of the liver and jaundice are common. Furthermore, many galactosemics become mentally retarded. The blood galactose level is markedly elevated, and galactose is found in the urine. The absence of the transferase in red blood cells is a definitive diagnostic criterion.





*Galactosemia is treated by the exclusion of galactose from the diet.* A galactose-free diet leads to a striking regression of virtually all the clinical symptoms, except for mental retardation, which may not be reversible. Continued galactose intake may lead to death in some patients. *The damage in galactosemia is caused by an accumulation of toxic substances, rather than by the absence of an essential compound.* Patients are able to synthesize UDP-galactose from UDP-glucose because their epimerase activity is normal. One of the toxic substances is *galactitol*, which is formed by reduction of galactose. The presence of aldose reductase in the lens of the eye causes galactitol to accumulate there, which leads to the entry of water and the development of cataracts.

## PHOSPHOFRUCTOKINASE IS THE KEY ENZYME IN THE CONTROL OF GLYCOLYSIS


The glycolytic pathway has a dual role: it degrades glucose to generate ATP, and it provides building blocks for synthetic reactions, such as the formation of long-chain fatty acids. The rate of conversion of glucose into pyruvate is regulated to meet these two major cellular needs. *In metabolic pathways, enzymes catalyzing essentially irreversible reactions are potential sites of control.* In glycolysis, the reactions catalyzed by hexokinase, phosphofructokinase, and pyruvate kinase are virtually irreversible; hence, they would be expected to have regulatory as well as catalytic roles. In fact, each of them serves as a control site. Their activities are regulated by the reversible binding of allosteric effectors or by covalent modification. Also, the amounts of these key enzymes are varied by transcriptional control to meet changing metabolic needs. Reversible allosteric control, regulation by phosphorylation, and transcriptional control typically occur in times of milliseconds, seconds, and hours, respectively.

*Phosphofructokinase is the most important control element in the glycolytic pathway of mammals.* The enzyme from liver (a 340-kd tetramer) is *inhibited by high levels of ATP*, which lower its affinity for fructose 6-phosphate. A high concentration of ATP converts the hyperbolic binding curve of fructose 6-phosphate into a sigmoidal one (Figure 19-6). This allosteric effect is elicited by the binding of ATP to a specific regulatory site that is distinct from the catalytic site. The inhibitory action of ATP is reversed by AMP, and so *the activity of the enzyme increases when the ATP/AMP ratio is lowered.* In other words, *glycolysis is stimulated as the energy charge falls.* A second control feature comes into play when the pH drops appreciably. The inhibition of phosphofructokinase by  $H^+$  prevents excessive formation of lactate (p. 497) and a precipitous drop in blood pH (acidosis).

Glycolysis also furnishes carbon skeletons for biosyntheses, and so phosphofructokinase should also be regulated by a signal indicating whether




**Figure 19-6** Allosteric regulation of phosphofructokinase. A high level of ATP inhibits the enzyme by decreasing its affinity for fructose 6-phosphate. AMP diminishes and citrate enhances the inhibitory effect of ATP.



building blocks are abundant or scarce. Indeed, *phosphofructokinase is inhibited by citrate*, an early intermediate in the citric acid cycle (p. 510). A high level of citrate means that biosynthetic precursors are abundant and so additional glucose should not be degraded for this purpose. Citrate inhibits phosphofructokinase by enhancing the inhibitory effect of ATP.


A new regulator of glycolysis was discovered in 1980 by Henri-Géry Hers and Emile Van Schaftingen. They found that *fructose 2,6-bisphosphate*, a previously unknown metabolite, is a potent activator of phosphofructokinase. Fructose 2,6-bisphosphate (F-2,6-BP) activates phosphofructokinase in liver by increasing its affinity for fructose 6-phosphate and diminishing the inhibitory effect of ATP (Figure 19-7). In essence, *F-2,6-BP is an allosteric activator that shifts the conformational equilibrium of this tetrameric enzyme from the T state to the R state.*



**Figure 19-7**  
Phosphofructokinase is activated by fructose 2,6-bisphosphate. (A) The sigmoidal dependence of velocity on substrate concentration becomes hyperbolic in the presence of 1 μM fructose 2,6-bisphosphate, and (B) the inhibitory effect of ATP is reversed. [After H.-G. Hers and E. Van Schaftingen. *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci.* 78(1981):2862.]


### A REGULATED BIFUNCTIONAL ENZYME SYNTHESIZES AND DEGRADES FRUCTOSE 2,6-BISPHOSPHATE

Fructose 2,6-bisphosphate is formed by the phosphorylation of fructose 6-phosphate, in a reaction catalyzed by phosphofructokinase 2 (PFK2), a different enzyme from phosphofructokinase (PFK) (Figure 19-8). F-2,6-BP is hydrolyzed to fructose 6-phosphate by a specific phosphatase, fructose bisphosphatase 2 (FBPase2). The striking finding is that *both PFK2 and FBPase2 are present in a single 55-kd polypeptide chain*. This bifunctional enzyme contains an N-terminal *regulatory domain*, followed by a *kinase domain* and a *phosphatase domain*. It is interesting to note that PFK2 resem-



bles phosphofructokinase, whereas FBPAse2 resembles phosphoglycerate mutase. The bifunctional enzyme probably arose by the fusion of genes encoding the kinase and phosphatase domains.

Fructose 6-phosphate accelerates the synthesis of F-2,6-BP and inhibits its hydrolysis. Hence, *an abundance of fructose 6-phosphate leads to a higher concentration of F-2,6-BP, which in turn stimulates phosphofructokinase*. Such a process is called *feedforward stimulation*. Furthermore, the activities of



**Figure 19-8**


Control of the synthesis and degradation of fructose 2,6-bisphosphate. Fructose 6-phosphate accelerates the formation of F-2,6-BP and inhibits its hydrolysis. A low blood glucose level leads to a higher level of the phosphorylated bifunctional enzyme and hence to a lower level of F-2,6-BP.

PFK2 and FBPAse2 are reciprocally controlled by *phosphorylation of a single serine residue*. When glucose is scarce, a rise in the blood level of the hormone glucagon triggers a cyclic AMP cascade leading to the phosphorylation of this bifunctional enzyme. This covalent modification activates FBPAse2 and inhibits PFK2, lowering the level of F-2,6-BP. Conversely, when glucose is abundant, the enzyme loses its attached phosphate group, which leads to a rise in the level of F-2,6-BP and the consequent acceleration of glycolysis. This coordinated control is facilitated by having the kinase and phosphatase domains on the same polypeptide chain as the regulatory domain. We shall return to this elegant switch when we consider the integration of carbohydrate metabolism (p. 766).

## HEXOKINASE AND PYRUVATE KINASE ALSO SET THE PACE OF GLYCOLYSIS

Hexokinase, the enzyme catalyzing the first step of glycolysis, is inhibited by glucose 6-phosphate. When phosphofructokinase is inactive, the concentration of fructose 6-phosphate rises. In turn, the level of glucose 6-phosphate rises because it is in equilibrium with fructose 6-phosphate. Hence, *the inhibition of phosphofructokinase leads to the inhibition of hexokinase*. However, liver possesses *glucokinase*, a specialized isoform of hexokinase that is not inhibited by glucose 6-phosphate. Glucokinase phosphorylates glucose only when it is abundant because it has a much higher  $K_M$  for glucose than does hexokinase (5 mM, compared with 0.1 mM). The role of glucokinase is to provide glucose 6-phosphate for the synthesis of glycogen, a storage form of glucose (p. 586). The high  $K_M$  of glucokinase in the liver gives brain and muscle first call on glucose when its supply is limited.

Why is phosphofructokinase rather than hexokinase the pacemaker of glycolysis? The reason becomes evident on noting that glucose 6-phosphate is not solely a glycolytic intermediate. Glucose 6-phosphate can also be converted into glycogen or it can be oxidized by the pentose phosphate pathway (p. 559) to form NADPH. The first irreversible reaction




Structure of a bacterial phosphofructokinase. The four identical subunits are shown in different colors in a model depicting the surface of the enzyme. [Courtesy of Dr. Anthony Nicholls. Drawn from 4pfk.pdb. T. Schirmer and P.R. Evans. *Nature* 343(1990):140.]

unique to the glycolytic pathway, called the *committed step*, is the phosphorylation of fructose 6-phosphate to fructose 1,6-bisphosphate. Thus, it is highly appropriate for phosphofructokinase to be the primary control site in glycolysis. In general, *the enzyme catalyzing the committed step in a metabolic sequence is the most important control element in the pathway.*

Pyruvate kinase, the enzyme catalyzing the third irreversible step in glycolysis, controls the outflow from this pathway. This final step yields ATP and pyruvate, a central metabolic intermediate that can be oxidized further or used as a building block. Several forms of pyruvate kinase (a tetramer of 57-kd subunits) encoded by different genes are present in mammals: the L type predominates in liver, and the M type in muscle and brain. These variations on a common theme, called *isoenzymes* or *isozymes*, have essentially the same architectural plan and catalytic mechanism but differ in how they are regulated. Both the L and M forms bind phosphoenolpyruvate cooperatively. Fructose 1,6-bisphosphate, the product of the preceding irreversible step in glycolysis, activates pyruvate kinase to enable it to keep pace with the oncoming high flux of intermediates. ATP allosterically inhibits pyruvate kinase to slow glycolysis when the energy charge is high (Figure 19-9).

**Figure 19-9**  
Control of the catalytic activity of pyruvate kinase.




Alanine (synthesized in one step from pyruvate, p. 630) also allosterically inhibits pyruvate kinase, in this case to signal that building blocks are abundant. The catalytic properties of the L form—but not of the M form—are also controlled by reversible phosphorylation. When the blood glucose level is low, glucagon triggers a cyclic AMP cascade that leads to the phosphorylation of pyruvate kinase, which diminishes its activity. A rise in the cytosolic calcium level induced by hormones such as vasopressin also leads to phosphorylation and inhibition of pyruvate kinase. *These hormone-triggered phosphorylations, like that of the bifunctional enzyme controlling the levels of fructose 2,6-bisphosphate, prevent the liver from consuming glucose when it is more urgently needed by brain and muscle (p. 575).* We see here a clear-cut example of how isoenzymes contribute to the metabolic diversity of different organs.


#### DIVERSE FATES OF PYRUVATE: ETHANOL, LACTATE, OR ACETYL COENZYME A

The sequence of reactions from glucose to pyruvate is similar in all organisms and in all kinds of cells. In contrast, the fate of pyruvate is variable. Three reactions of pyruvate are of prime importance:


1. *Ethanol* is formed from pyruvate in yeast and several other microorganisms. The first step is the decarboxylation of pyruvate.



This reaction is catalyzed by *pyruvate decarboxylase*. Thiamine pyrophosphate, the coenzyme here, also participates in reactions catalyzed by decarboxylases (p. 516) and other enzymes (p. 566).



The second step is the reduction of acetaldehyde to ethanol by NADH, in a reaction catalyzed by *alcohol dehydrogenase*.



The active site of alcohol dehydrogenase contains a zinc ion that is coordinated to the sulfur atoms of two cysteine residues and a histidine nitrogen atom (Figure 19-10). As in carboxypeptidase A (p. 221),  $\text{Zn}^{2+}$  polarizes the carbonyl group of the substrate to stabilize the transition state (Figure 19-11).




Figure 19-10

Model of the active site of liver alcohol dehydrogenase showing the coordination of the zinc ion. [Drawn from 2ohx.pdb; coordinates deposited by S. Al-Karadaghi and E.S. Cedergren-Zeppezauer.]





Figure 19-11


Catalytic mechanism of alcohol dehydrogenase.

The conversion of glucose into ethanol is called *alcoholic fermentation*. The net result of this anaerobic process is




It is important to note that  $\text{NAD}^+$  and NADH do not appear in this equation, even though they are crucial for the overall reaction.  $\text{NAD}^+$  generated in the reduction of acetaldehyde to ethanol is consumed in the oxidation of glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate. Thus, *there is no net oxidation-reduction in the conversion of glucose into ethanol*.


2. *Lactate* is normally formed from pyruvate in a variety of microorganisms. The reaction also occurs in the cells of higher organisms when the amount of oxygen is limiting, as in muscle during intense activity. The reduction of pyruvate by NADH to form lactate is catalyzed by *lactate dehydrogenase*.



The overall reaction in the conversion of glucose into lactate is








**Figure 19-12**  
Schematic diagram of the NAD<sup>+</sup>-binding region in dehydrogenases. The nicotinamide-binding half (shaded green) is structurally similar to the adenine-binding half (shaded yellow) of the site. [After M.G. Rossmann, A. Liljas, C.-I. Brändén, and L.J. Banaszak. In *The Enzymes*, vol. 10, 3rd ed. (Academic Press, 1975), p. 68.]

As in alcoholic fermentation, there is no net oxidation-reduction. The NADH formed in the oxidation of glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate is consumed in the reduction of pyruvate. *The regeneration of NAD<sup>+</sup> in the reduction of pyruvate to lactate or ethanol sustains the continued operation of glycolysis under anaerobic conditions.* If NAD<sup>+</sup> were not regenerated, glycolysis could not proceed beyond glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate, which means that no ATP would be generated. In effect, the formation of lactate by aerobic organisms buys time, as will be discussed in Chapter 22.


3. Only a small fraction of the energy of glucose is released in its anaerobic conversion into lactate (or ethanol). Much more energy can be extracted aerobically by means of the citric acid cycle and the electron transport chain. The entry point to this oxidative pathway is *acetyl coenzyme A* (*acetyl CoA*), which is formed inside mitochondria by the oxidative decarboxylation of pyruvate.



This reaction, which is catalyzed by the pyruvate dehydrogenase complex, will be discussed in detail in the next chapter. The NAD<sup>+</sup> required for this reaction and for the oxidation of glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate is regenerated when NADH ultimately transfers its electrons to O<sub>2</sub> through the electron transport chain in mitochondria.

### THE BINDING SITE FOR NAD<sup>+</sup> IS VERY SIMILAR IN MANY DEHYDROGENASES

Lactate dehydrogenase from skeletal muscle, a 140-kd tetramer, and alcohol dehydrogenase, an 84-kd dimer, have quite different three-dimensional structures. However, their NAD<sup>+</sup>-binding domains are strikingly similar (Figure 19-12). This nucleotide-binding region is made up of four  $\alpha$  helices and a sheet of six parallel  $\beta$  strands. The conformations of NAD<sup>+</sup> bound to lactate dehydrogenase and to alcohol dehydrogenase also are nearly the same. The adenine moiety of NAD<sup>+</sup> is bound in a hydrophobic crevice. In contrast, the nicotinamide unit is bound so that the reactive side of the ring is in a polar environment, whereas the other side makes contact with hydrophobic residues of the enzyme. The bound NAD<sup>+</sup> has an extended conformation (Figure 19-13). The three-dimen-



**Figure 19-13**  
Model of NAD<sup>+</sup>. The conformation shown here is the one found in the complex of NAD<sup>+</sup> and lactate dehydrogenase.

sional structures of two other  $\text{NAD}^+$ -requiring enzymes—glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate dehydrogenase and malate dehydrogenase (an enzyme of the citric acid cycle, p. 512)—are also known at high resolution. Their  $\text{NAD}^+$ -binding domains closely resemble those of lactate dehydrogenase and alcohol dehydrogenase. *The  $\text{NAD}^+$ -binding region common to these four enzymes is a fundamental structural motif of  $\text{NAD}^+$ -linked dehydrogenases.*


### INDUCED FIT IN HEXOKINASE: GLUCOSE CLOSES THE ACTIVE-SITE CLEFT

X-ray crystallographic studies of yeast hexokinase have revealed that the binding of glucose leads to a large conformational change in the enzyme. Hexokinase consists of two lobes, which come together when glucose is bound (Figure 19-14). Glucose induces a 12-degree rotation of one lobe with respect to the other, resulting in movements of the polypeptide backbone of as much as 8 Å. The cleft between the lobes closes, and the bound glucose becomes surrounded by protein, except for its 5-hydroxymethyl group.


The closing of the cleft in hexokinase is a striking example of the role of *induced fit* in enzyme action, as originally proposed by Koshland (p. 191). The glucose-induced structural changes are likely to be significant in two ways. First, the environment around the glucose becomes much more nonpolar, which encourages the donation of the terminal phosphoryl group of ATP. Second, the embracing of glucose by hexokinase enables the enzyme to discriminate against  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  as a substrate. If hexokinase were rigid, a water molecule occupying the binding site for the  $-\text{CH}_2\text{OH}$  of glucose would attack the  $\gamma$ -phosphoryl group of ATP. In other words, a rigid kinase would necessarily be an ATPase as well as a kinase. The undesirable ATPase activity is prevented by making hexokinase enzymatically active only when glucose closes the cleft. It is interesting to note that pyruvate kinase, phosphoglycerate kinase, and phosphofructokinase also contain clefts between lobes that close when substrate is bound. *Substrate-induced cleft closing is likely to be a general feature of kinases.*

### ALDOLASE FORMS A SCHIFF BASE WITH DIHYDROXYACETONE PHOSPHATE

Let us turn now to the catalytic mechanism of aldolase. For convenience, we will examine the condensation of dihydroxyacetone phosphate and glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate to form fructose 1,6-bisphosphate, the reverse of the glycolytic reaction. First, dihydroxyacetone phosphate forms a protonated Schiff base with a specific lysine residue in the active site of animal aldolases.




In this reaction, a nucleophile (the amino group) attacks the carbonyl group to form a tetrahedral intermediate, which then dehydrates. *The resulting protonated Schiff base plays a critical role in catalysis. It promotes the*




**Figure 19-14**  
The conformation of hexokinase changes markedly on binding glucose (shown in red). The two lobes of the enzyme come together and surround the substrate. [Courtesy of Dr. Thomas Steitz.]


formation of the enolate anion of dihydroxyacetone phosphate by serving as an electron sink (a potent electron acceptor).



The enolate anion then adds to the aldehyde group of glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate to form a protonated Schiff base of fructose 1,6-bisphosphate.



The Schiff base is deprotonated and hydrolyzed to yield fructose 1,6-bisphosphate and the regenerated enzyme.




The pathway for the cleavage of fructose 1,6-bisphosphate is simply the reverse of the one for its formation.

### KINETIC PERFECTION IN CATALYSIS: TRIOSE PHOSPHATE ISOMERASE IN ACTION


Much is known about the catalytic mechanism of triosephosphate isomerase (TIM), an especially interesting enzyme. TIM catalyzes the transfer of a hydrogen atom from C-1 to C-2 in converting dihydroxyacetone phosphate into glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate, an intramolecular oxidation-reduction. This isomerization of a ketose into an aldose proceeds through an *enediol intermediate* (Figure 19-15). When the reaction is carried out in D<sub>2</sub>O, deuterium becomes incorporated into C-2. This finding rules out a

**Figure 19-15**  
Catalytic mechanism of triosephosphate isomerase.



direct transfer of a hydride ion ( $\text{:H}^-$ ) from C-1 to C-2. Rather, the first step is the removal of a proton from C-1 by a basic group of the protein to form an enediol. Isotope labeling studies of the reverse reaction provided another key clue. Some of the tritium attached to C-2 of specifically labeled glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate emerged in C-1 of dihydroxyacetone phosphate, whereas the rest exchanged with protons of water. This finding revealed that *abstraction and donation of a proton are mediated by the same catalytic base*.


X-ray crystallographic studies then showed that glutamate 165 plays this role (Figure 19-16). However, a carboxylate group by itself is not basic enough to pull a proton away from a carbon atom adjacent to a carbonyl group. Histidine 95 assists catalysis by donating a proton to the C-2 carbonyl group. The phosphate group of the substrate is held in place by a salt bridge with lysine 12 and hydrogen bonds with two main-chain NH groups. The positively charged end of an  $\alpha$  helix dipole is also trained on the dianionic phosphate moiety.



**Figure 19-16**

Active site of triosephosphate isomerase. Phosphoglycolhydroxamate (PGH), a substrate analog, is tightly bound. Glu 165 and His 95 play key catalytic roles. Lys 12 forms a salt bridge with the phosphate group of the substrate analog and polarizes the C-2 oxygen atom. [Drawn from 7tim.pdb. R.C. Davenport, P.A. Bash, B.A. Seaton, M. Karplus, G.A. Petsko, and D. Ringe. *Biochemistry* 30(1991):5821.]

Two other features of this isomerase are noteworthy. First, TIM displays great catalytic prowess. It accelerates isomerization by a factor of  $10^{10}$  compared with that obtained with a simple base catalyst such as acetate ion. Indeed, the  $k_{\text{cat}}/K_M$  ratio for isomerization of glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate is  $2 \times 10^8 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ , which is close to the diffusion-controlled limit. In other words, the rate-limiting step in catalysis is the diffusion-controlled encounter of substrate and enzyme. TIM is an example of a *kinetically perfect enzyme* (p. 195). Second, TIM suppresses an undesired side reaction, the decomposition of the enediol intermediate into methylglyoxal and  $\text{P}_i$  (Figure 19-17). In solution, this unfavorable reaction is 100 times faster than isomerization. Hence, TIM must prevent the enediol from leaving the enzyme. This labile intermediate is sequestered in the active site by the movement of a loop of 10 residues. This lid shuts the active site when the enediol is present, and reopens when isomerization is completed. *We see here a striking example of the channeling of catalysis by induced fit.*




**Figure 19-17**


In solution, the enediol intermediate in the isomerization of dihydroxyacetone phosphate decomposes into methylglyoxal and  $\text{P}_i$ . The isomerase blocks this undesired side reaction.

### A THIOESTER IS FORMED IN THE OXIDATION OF GLYCERALDEHYDE 3-PHOSPHATE


Glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate dehydrogenase catalyzes the oxidative phosphorylation of its aldehyde substrate.




The conversion of an aldehyde into an acyl phosphate entails both *oxidation* and *phosphorylation*. Oxidation requires the *removal of a hydride ion* ( $\text{:H}^-$ ), which is a hydrogen nucleus and two electrons. Removal of a hydride ion from an aldehyde is energetically costly because of the dipolar character of the carbonyl group: the carbon atom of the carbonyl group already has a partial positive charge. Removal of the hydride ion is greatly facilitated by making the carbon atom less positively charged. This is accomplished by the *addition of a nucleophile*, represented here by  $\text{X}^-$ :



The hydride ion readily leaves the addition compound because the carbon atom no longer carries a large positive charge. Furthermore, some of the free energy of the oxidation is preserved in the acyl intermediate. Addition of orthophosphate to this acyl intermediate yields an acyl phosphate, which has a high group transfer potential.




Now let us see how glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate dehydrogenase carries out these steps (Figure 19-18). The nucleophile  $\text{X}^-$  is the *sulfhydryl group of a cysteine residue at the active site*. The aldehyde substrate reacts with the ionized form of this sulfhydryl group to form a hemithioacetal. The next step is the *transfer of a hydride ion to a molecule of  $\text{NAD}^+$  that is tightly bound to the enzyme*. The products of this reaction are the reduced coenzyme NADH and a thioester. This thioester is an *energy-rich intermediate*, corresponding to the acyl intermediate mentioned earlier. NADH dissociates from the enzyme, and another  $\text{NAD}^+$  binds to the active site. Orthophosphate then attacks the thioester to form 1,3-BPG, a high-potential phosphoryl donor.



**Figure 19-18**  
Catalytic mechanism of  
glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate  
dehydrogenase.


A crucial aspect of the formation of 1,3-BPG from glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate is that a thermodynamically unfavorable reaction, the formation of an acyl phosphate from a carboxylate, is driven by a thermodynamically favorable reaction, the oxidation of an aldehyde.




These two reactions are *coupled by the thioester intermediate*, which preserves much of the free energy released in the oxidation reaction. We see here the *use of a covalent enzyme-bound intermediate as a mechanism of energy coupling*.

### ARSENATE, AN ANALOG OF PHOSPHATE, POISONS BY UNCOUPLING OXIDATION AND PHOSPHORYLATION

Arsenate ( $\text{AsO}_4^{3-}$ ) closely resembles  $\text{P}_i$  in structure and reactivity. In the reaction catalyzed by glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate dehydrogenase, arsenate can replace phosphate in attacking the energy-rich thioester intermediate. The product of this reaction, 1-arseno-3-phosphoglycerate, is unstable, in contrast with 1,3-bisphosphoglycerate. 1-Arseno-3-phosphoglycerate and other acyl arsenates are rapidly and spontaneously hydrolyzed. Hence, the net reaction in the presence of arsenate is




Note that glycolysis proceeds in the presence of arsenate but that the ATP normally formed in the conversion of 1,3-bisphosphoglycerate into 3-phosphoglycerate is lost. Thus, *arsenate uncouples oxidation and phosphorylation by forming a highly labile acyl arsenate*. Arsenic is a potent poison because arsenate generally substitutes for phosphate in phosphoryl transfer reactions. Also, arsenite ( $\text{AsO}_2^-$ ) forms adducts with thiols. One likely reason for the choice of phosphorus over arsenic in the evolution of biomolecules is the greater kinetic stability of its energy-rich compounds.



### 2,3-BISPHOSPHOGLYCERATE, AN ALLOSTERIC EFFECTOR OF HEMOGLOBIN, ARISES FROM 1,3-BISPHOSPHOGLYCERATE

We have seen that fructose 2,6-bisphosphate, a regulatory molecule, arises from a glycolytic intermediate. Another regulatory molecule coming from this pathway is *2,3-bisphosphoglycerate* (2,3-BPG), a controller of oxygen transport in erythrocytes (p. 160). Red blood cells have a high concentration of 2,3-BPG, typically 4 mM, in contrast with most other cells, which have only trace amounts. The synthesis and degradation of 2,3-BPG are a short detour from the glycolytic pathway (Figure 19-19).



**Figure 19-19**  
Synthesis and degradation of 2,3-bisphosphoglycerate.


**Table 19-3**  
Typical concentrations of glycolytic intermediates in erythrocytes

Intermediate	$\mu\text{M}$
Glucose	5000
Glucose 6-phosphate	83
Fructose 6-phosphate	14
Fructose 1,6-bisphosphate	31
Dihydroxyacetone phosphate	138
Glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate	19
1,3-Bisphosphoglycerate	1
2,3-Bisphosphoglycerate	4000
3-Phosphoglycerate	118
2-Phosphoglycerate	30
Phosphoenolpyruvate	23
Pyruvate	51
Lactate	2900
ATP	1850
ADP	138
$\text{P}_i$	1000

After S. Minakami and H. Yoshikawa.  
*Biochem. Biophys. Res. Comm.* 18(1965):345.

*Bisphosphoglycerate mutase* converts 1,3-BPG into 2,3-BPG. 2,3-BPG is a potent competitive inhibitor of its own formation. The concentration of this allosteric effector also depends on its hydrolysis to 3-phosphoglycerate, a reaction catalyzed by *2,3-bisphosphoglycerate phosphatase*. Both the synthesis and degradation of 2,3-BPG are nearly irreversible.

The mutase reaction has an interesting mechanism. *3-Phosphoglycerate* is an obligatory participant although it does not appear in the overall stoichiometry. The mutase binds 1,3-BPG and 3-phosphoglycerate simultaneously. In this ternary complex, a phosphoryl group is transferred from position 1 of 1,3-BPG to position 2 of 3-phosphoglycerate (Figure 19-20).




**Figure 19-20**  
3-Phosphoglycerate participates in the conversion of 1,3-bisphosphoglycerate into 2,3-bisphosphoglycerate.

2,3-BPG is important not only in red blood cells. Phosphoglycerate mutase, the enzyme catalyzing the interconversion of 3-phosphoglycerate and 2-phosphoglycerate, requires a catalytic amount of 2,3-BPG to be active. 2,3-BPG phosphorylates a histidine residue at the catalytic site to maintain the active form of the enzyme. Thus, 2,3-bisphosphoglycerate plays an essential role in basic metabolism. It seems likely that 2,3-BPG was present eons before it was recruited by red cells to control the oxygen affinity of hemoglobin.

## ENOL PHOSPHATES ARE POTENT PHOSPHORYL DONORS


Because it is an *acyl phosphate*, 1,3-BPG has a high group transfer potential. A different kind of high-energy phosphate compound is generated several steps later in glycolysis. Phosphoenolpyruvate, an *enol phosphate*, is formed by the dehydration of 2-phosphoglycerate. The  $\Delta G^\circ$  of hydrolysis of a phosphate ester of an ordinary alcohol is  $-3$  kcal/mol, whereas that of phosphoenolpyruvate is  $-14.8$  kcal/mol. Why does phosphoenolpyruvate have such a high phosphoryl group-transfer potential? The answer is that the enol formed upon transfer of the phosphoryl group undergoes a conversion into a ketone—namely, pyruvate.



The  $\Delta G^\circ$  of the enol-ketone conversion is very large, of the order of  $-10$  kcal/mol. Thus, the high phosphoryl group-transfer potential of phosphoenolpyruvate arises primarily from the large driving force of the subsequent enol-ketone conversion.

## A FAMILY OF TRANSPORTERS ENABLES GLUCOSE TO ENTER AND LEAVE ANIMAL CELLS

The thermodynamically downhill movement of glucose across the plasma membrane of animal cells is mediated by several *glucose transporters*. The members of this protein family, named GLUT1 to 5, consist of a single polypeptide chain about 500 residues long. The common structural theme is the presence of 12 transmembrane segments (Figure 19-21). The binding site for glucose alternately faces the inside and outside of the cell when occupied by a sugar; this eversion is accomplished by conformational changes within the transporter and not by a rotation of the whole protein.



**Figure 19-21**

Model of a mammalian glucose transporter. The hydrophobicity profile of the protein points to the presence of 12 transmembrane  $\alpha$  helices. Chemical labeling and tryptic cleavage studies support this postulated topography. [From M. Muekler, C. Caruso, S.A. Baldwin, M. Panico, M. Blench, H.R. Morris, W.J. Allard, G.E. Lienhard, and H.F. Lodish. *Science* 229(1985):941.]

The members of this family have distinctive roles:

1. GLUT1 and 3, present in nearly all mammalian cells, are responsible for basal glucose uptake. Their  $K_M$  for glucose is about 1 mM, significantly less than the normal serum glucose level, which typically ranges from 4 mM to 8 mM. Hence, GLUT1 and 3 continually transport glucose at an essentially constant rate.
2. GLUT5, present in the small intestine, works in tandem with the  $\text{Na}^+$ -glucose symporter in the absorption of glucose from the gut. The symporter pumps glucose into the intestinal epithelial cell. GLUT5 in the plasma membrane on the opposite side of the cell then releases glucose into the bloodstream.
3. GLUT2, present in liver and pancreatic  $\beta$  cells, is distinctive in having a very high  $K_M$  for glucose (15–20 mM). Hence, the rate of entry of glucose into these tissues is proportional to the blood glucose level. The pancreas can thereby sense the glucose level and accordingly adjust the rate of insulin secretion. The high  $K_M$  of GLUT2 also assures that glucose rapidly enters liver cells only in times of plenty. When the blood glucose level is low, glucose preferentially enters brain and other tissues because their glucose transporters have a lower  $K_M$  than that of liver.
4. GLUT4, which has a  $K_M$  of 5 mM, mediates the entry of glucose into muscle and fat cells. Insulin, which signals the fed state, leads to a rapid increase in the number of GLUT4 transporters in the plasma membrane. Hence, insulin promotes the uptake of glucose by muscle and fat.

This family of transporters vividly illustrates how isoforms of a single protein can profoundly shape the metabolic character of cells and contribute to their diversity and functional specialization.



## SUMMARY

Glycolysis is the set of reactions that converts glucose into pyruvate. In aerobic organisms, glycolysis is the prelude to the citric acid cycle and the electron transport chain, where most of the free energy in glucose is harvested. The 10 reactions of glycolysis occur in the cytosol. In the first stage, glucose is converted into fructose 1,6-bisphosphate by a phosphorylation, an isomerization, and a second phosphorylation reaction. Two molecules of ATP are consumed per molecule of glucose in these reactions, which are the prelude to the net synthesis of ATP. In the second stage, fructose 1,6-bisphosphate is cleaved by aldolase into dihydroxyacetone phosphate and glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate, which are readily interconvertible. Glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate is then oxidized and phosphorylated to form 1,3-bisphosphoglycerate, an acyl phosphate with a high phosphoryl transfer potential. 3-Phosphoglycerate is then formed as an ATP is generated. In the last stage of glycolysis, phosphoenolpyruvate, a second intermediate with a high phosphoryl transfer potential, is formed by a phosphoryl shift and a dehydration. Another ATP is generated as phosphoenolpyruvate is converted into pyruvate. There is a net gain of two molecules of ATP in the formation of two molecules of pyruvate from one molecule of glucose.

The electron acceptor in the oxidation of glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate is  $\text{NAD}^+$ , which must be regenerated for glycolysis to continue. In aerobic organisms, the NADH formed in glycolysis transfers its electrons to  $\text{O}_2$  through the electron transport chain, which thereby regenerates  $\text{NAD}^+$ . Under anaerobic conditions,  $\text{NAD}^+$  is regenerated by the reduction of pyruvate to lactate. In some microorganisms,  $\text{NAD}^+$  is normally regenerated by the synthesis of lactate or ethanol from pyruvate. These two processes are examples of fermentations.

The glycolytic pathway has a dual role: it degrades glucose to generate ATP, and it provides building blocks for the synthesis of cellular components. The rate of conversion of glucose into pyruvate is regulated to meet these two major cellular needs. Under physiologic conditions, the reactions of glycolysis are readily reversible except for the ones catalyzed by hexokinase, phosphofructokinase, and pyruvate kinase. Phosphofructokinase, the most important control element in glycolysis, is inhibited by high levels of ATP and citrate, and it is activated by AMP and fructose 2,6-bisphosphate. In liver, this bisphosphate signals that glucose is abundant. Hence, phosphofructokinase is active when either energy or building blocks are needed. Hexokinase is inhibited by glucose 6-phosphate, which accumulates when phosphofructokinase is inactive. Pyruvate kinase, the other control site, is allosterically inhibited by ATP and alanine, and it is activated by fructose 1,6-bisphosphate. Consequently, pyruvate kinase is maximally active when the energy charge is low and glycolytic intermediates accumulate. Pyruvate kinase, like the bifunctional enzyme controlling the level of fructose 2,6-bisphosphate, is regulated by phosphorylation. A low level of glucose in the blood promotes the phosphorylation of liver pyruvate kinase, which diminishes its activity and thereby decreases glucose consumption in the liver.

Induced fit enhances the catalytic efficiency of hexokinase and triose-phosphate isomerase by preventing undesired side reactions. The isomerase is an example of a catalytically perfect enzyme limited only by the diffusion-controlled encounter of enzyme and substrate. A thioester intermediate conserves some of the free energy of oxidation of glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate; attack by  $\text{P}_i$  yields an energy-rich acyl phosphate. Arsenate, an analog of phosphate, uncouples oxidation and phosphorylation.

The thermodynamically downhill entry of glucose into animal cells is mediated by a family of glucose transporters named GLUT1 to 5. The binding site for glucose in this plasma membrane protein, when occupied, alternately faces the inside and outside of the cell. The different  $K_M$  values and differential regulation of this family of transporters shape the metabolic character of cells in different organs.

## SELECTED READINGS

### Where to start

- Boiteux, A., and Hess, B., 1981. Design of glycolysis. *Phil. Trans. Roy. Soc. Lond. B* 293:5–22. [A stimulating presentation of the regulation of glycolysis in a symposium volume entitled *The Enzymes of Glycolysis: Structure, Activity, and Evolution*.]
- Pilkis, S.J., and Granner, D.K., 1992. Molecular physiology of the regulation of hepatic gluconeogenesis and glycolysis. *Ann. Rev. Physiol.* 54:885–909.
- Knowles, J.R., 1991. Enzyme catalysis: Not different, just better. *Nature* 350:121–124. [An engaging account of the catalytic strategy of triosephosphate isomerase.]
- Granner, D., and Pilkis, S., 1990. The genes of hepatic glucose metabolism. *J. Biol. Chem.* 265:10173–10176.

### Books

- Ochs, R.S., Hanson, R.W., and Hall, J. (eds.), 1985. *Metabolic Regulation*. Elsevier. [A collection of essays originally published in *Trends in Biochemical Sciences (TIBS)*, a highly readable and informative journal.]
- Fersht, A., 1985. *Enzyme Structure and Mechanism* (2nd ed.). W.H. Freeman. [Contains succinct accounts of the catalytic and regulatory mechanisms of numerous enzymes participating in metabolism.]
- Newsholme, E.A., and Start, C., 1973. *Regulation in Metabolism*. Wiley. [Chapters 3 and 6 provide excellent accounts of the control of carbohydrate metabolism.]

### Structure of glycolytic enzymes

- Anderson, C.M., Zucker, F.H., and Steitz, T.A., 1979. Space-filling models of kinase clefts and conformation changes. *Science* 204:375–380. [A well-illustrated and lucid article showing that kinases generally have a deep cleft that closes on binding substrate.]
- Schirmer, T., and Evans, P.R., 1990. Structural basis of the allosteric behaviour of phosphofructokinase. *Nature* 343:140–145.
- Gamblin, S.J., Cooper, B., Millar, J.R., Davies, G.J., Littlechild, J.A., and Watson, H.C., 1990. The crystal structure of human muscle aldolase at 3.0 Å resolution. *FEBS Lett.* 262:282–286.
- Harlos, K., Vas, M., and Blake, C.F., 1992. Crystal structure of the binary complex of pig muscle phosphoglycerate kinase and its substrate 3-phospho-D-glycerate. *Proteins* 12:133–144.

### Catalytic mechanisms

- Rose, I.A., 1981. Chemistry of proton abstraction by glycolytic enzymes (aldolase, isomerases, and pyruvate kinase). *Phil. Trans. Roy. Soc. Lond. B* 293:131–144.

- Knowles, J.R., and Albery, W.J., 1977. Perfection in enzyme catalysis: The energetics of triosephosphate isomerase. *Acc. Chem. Res.* 10:105–111.

- Bash, P.A., Field, M.J., Davenport, R.C., Petsko, G.A., Ringe, D., and Karplus, M., 1991. Computer simulation and analysis of the reaction pathway of triosephosphate isomerase. *Biochemistry* 30:5826–5832.

- Boyer, P. D. (ed.), 1972. *The Enzymes* (3rd ed.). Academic Press. [Volumes 5 through 9 contain authoritative reviews of each of the glycolytic enzymes. Alcohol dehydrogenase and lactate dehydrogenase are discussed in vol. 10, and pyruvate kinase in vol. 18.]

### Regulation of glycolysis

- Pilkis, S.J., and Claus, T.H., 1991. Hepatic gluconeogenesis/glycolysis: Regulation and structure/function relationships of substrate cycle enzymes. *Ann. Rev. Nutr.* 11:465–515.
- Hers, H.-G., and Van Schaftingen, E., 1982. Fructose 2,6-bisphosphate two years after its discovery. *Biochem. J.* 206:1–12.
- Middleton, R.J., 1990. Hexokinases and glucokinases. *Biochem. Soc. Trans.* 18:180–183.

### Sugar transporters

- Silverman, M., 1991. Structure and function of hexose transporters. *Ann. Rev. Biochem.* 60:757–794.
- Thorens, B., Charron, M.J., and Lodish, H.F., 1990. Molecular physiology of glucose transporters. *Diabetes Care* 13:209–218.
- Meadow, N.D., Fox, D.K., and Roseman, S., 1990. The bacterial phosphoenolpyruvate:glucose phosphotransferase system. *Ann. Rev. Biochem.* 59:497–542.

### Genetic diseases


- Scriver, C.R., Beaudet, A.L., Sly, W.S., and Valle, D. (eds.), 1989. *The Metabolic Basis of Inherited Disease* (6th ed.). McGraw-Hill. [Chapters 11 and 13 deal with disorders of fructose and galactose metabolism. Deficiencies of glycolytic enzymes in erythrocytes are discussed in Chapter 94.]

### Historical aspects


- Kalckar, H.M. (ed.), 1969. *Biological Phosphorylations: Development of Concepts*. Prentice-Hall. [Contains many of the classical papers on glycolysis.]
- Fruton, J.S., 1972. *Molecules and Life: Historical Essays on the Interplay of Chemistry and Biology*. Wiley-Interscience. [Includes a meticulously documented account of the elucidation of the nature of fermentation and how it led to enzyme chemistry.]

## PROBLEMS


- Kitchen chemistry.* Sucrose is commonly used to preserve fruits. Why is glucose not well suited for preserving foods?
- Tracing carbon atoms.* Glucose labeled with  $^{14}\text{C}$  at C-1 is incubated with the glycolytic enzymes and necessary cofactors.
  - What is the distribution of  $^{14}\text{C}$  in the pyruvate that is formed? (Assume that the interconversion of glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate and dihydroxyacetone phosphate is very rapid compared with the subsequent step.)
  - If the specific activity of the glucose substrate is 10 mCi/mm, what is the specific activity of the pyruvate that is formed?
- Lactic fermentation.* Write a balanced equation for the conversion of glucose into lactate.
  - Calculate the standard free-energy change of this reaction using the data given in Table 19-2 (p. 491) and the fact that  $\Delta G^{\circ}$  is  $-6$  kcal for the reaction
 
$$\text{Pyruvate} + \text{NADH} + \text{H}^+ \rightleftharpoons \text{lactate} + \text{NAD}^+$$
  - What is the free-energy change ( $\Delta G'$ , not  $\Delta G^{\circ}$ ) of this reaction when the concentrations of reactants are: glucose, 5 mM; lactate, 0.05 mM; ATP, 2 mM; ADP, 0.2 mM; and  $\text{P}_i$ , 1 mM?
- High potential.* What is the equilibrium ratio of phosphoenolpyruvate to pyruvate under standard conditions when  $[\text{ATP}]/[\text{ADP}] = 10$ ?
- Hexose-triose equilibrium.* What are the equilibrium concentrations of fructose 1,6-bisphosphate, dihydroxyacetone phosphate, and glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate when 1 mM fructose 1,6-bisphosphate is incubated with aldolase under standard conditions?
- Double labeling.* 3-Phosphoglycerate labeled uniformly with  $^{14}\text{C}$  is incubated with 1,3-BPG labeled with  $^{32}\text{P}$  at C-1. What is the radioisotope distribution of the 2,3-BPG that is formed on addition of BPG mutase?
- An informative analog.* Xylose has the same structure as glucose except that it has a hydrogen atom at C-6 in place of a hydroxymethyl group. The rate of ATP hydrolysis by hexokinase is markedly enhanced by the addition of xylose. Why?
- The far reach of glycolysis.* Oxygen transport can be affected in genetic disorders of glycolysis in red cells.
  - How are glycolysis and oxygen transport linked?
  - How is oxygen affinity altered by a deficiency of hexokinase?
  - How is oxygen affinity altered by a deficiency of pyruvate kinase?
- Distinctive sugars.* The intravenous infusion of fructose into healthy volunteers leads to a two- to fivefold increase in the level of lactate in the blood, a far greater increase than that observed following the infusion of the same amount of glucose.
  - Why is glycolysis more rapid following the infusion of fructose?
  - Fructose has been used in place of glucose for intravenous feeding. Why is this use of fructose unwise?
- Catalytic metal.* Aldolases in prokaryotes contain a tightly bound divalent metal ion that is essential for catalysis. Propose a catalytic function for this metal ion.
- Contrasting inactivators.* Prokaryotic aldolases are inactivated by ethylenediaminetetraacetate (EDTA), a chelator of divalent metal ions, whereas animal aldolases discussed in this chapter are inactivated by sodium borohydride. Account for this difference.
- Metabolic mutants.* Predict the effect of each of the following on the pace of glycolysis in liver cells:
  - Loss of the allosteric site for ATP in phosphofructokinase.
  - Loss of the binding site for citrate in phosphofructokinase.
  - Loss of the phosphatase domain of the bifunctional enzyme that controls the level of fructose 2,6-bisphosphate.
  - Loss of the binding site for fructose 1,6-bisphosphate in pyruvate kinase.




The other reactions of the urea cycle lead to the synthesis of arginine from ornithine. First, a carbamoyl group is transferred to ornithine to form *citrulline*, in a reaction catalyzed by *ornithine transcarbamoylase*. The carbamoyl donor in this reaction is *carbamoyl phosphate*, which has a high transfer potential because of its anhydride bond.




*Argininosuccinate synthetase* then catalyzes the condensation of citrulline and aspartate. This synthesis of *argininosuccinate* is driven by the cleavage of ATP into AMP and pyrophosphate and by the subsequent hydrolysis of pyrophosphate.



Finally, *argininosuccinase* cleaves argininosuccinate into *arginine* and *fumarate*. These two reactions, which transfer the amino group of aspartate to form arginine, preserve the carbon skeleton of aspartate in the form of fumarate.








**Figure 28-7**

Biosynthetic families of amino acids in bacteria and plants. Major metabolic precursors are shaded blue. Amino acids that give rise to other amino acids are shaded red. Essential amino acids are shown in boldface.

The nonessential amino acids are synthesized by quite simple reactions, whereas the pathways for the formation of the essential amino acids are quite complex. For example, the nonessential amino acids *alanine* and *aspartate* are synthesized in a single step from pyruvate and oxaloacetate, respectively. Each acquires its amino group from glutamate in a transamination reaction in which pyridoxal phosphate is the cofactor (p. 631):




*Asparagine* is then synthesized by the amidation of aspartate:



In mammals, the nitrogen donor in the synthesis of asparagine is glutamine rather than  $\text{NH}_4^+$ , as in bacteria. Ammonia generated at the active site of the enzyme is directly transferred to bound aspartate. Recall that high levels of  $\text{NH}_4^+$  are toxic to humans (p. 637).

Another one-step synthesis of a nonessential amino acid in mammals is the hydroxylation of phenylalanine (an essential amino acid) to *tyrosine*.



This reaction is catalyzed by *phenylalanine hydroxylase*, a monooxygenase discussed previously (p. 647). It is noteworthy that tyrosine is an essential amino acid in people lacking this enzyme.

### GLUTAMATE IS THE PRECURSOR OF GLUTAMINE, PROLINE, AND ARGININE

The synthesis of glutamate by the reductive amination of  $\alpha$ -ketoglutarate has already been discussed, as has the conversion of glutamate into *glutamine* (p. 717). Glutamate is the precursor of two other nonessential amino acids, *proline* and *arginine*. First, the  $\gamma$ -carboxyl group of glutamate reacts

**This Page is Inserted by IFW Indexing and Scanning  
Operations and is not part of the Official Record**

**BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES**

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images include but are not limited to the items checked:

☒ BLACK BORDERS

☒ IMAGE CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES

☐ FADED TEXT OR DRAWING

☐ BLURRED OR ILLEGIBLE TEXT OR DRAWING

☐ SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES

☐ COLOR OR BLACK AND WHITE PHOTOGRAPHS

☐ GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS

☐ LINES OR MARKS ON ORIGINAL DOCUMENT

☐ REFERENCE(S) OR EXHIBIT(S) SUBMITTED ARE POOR QUALITY

☐ OTHER: \_\_\_\_\_

**IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.**

**As rescanning these documents will not correct the image problems checked, please do not report these problems to the IFW Image Problem Mailbox.**